

Index theorems for holomorphic self-maps

By MARCO ABATE, FILIPPO BRACCI, and FRANCESCA TOVENA

Introduction

The usual index theorems for holomorphic self-maps, like for instance the classical holomorphic Lefschetz theorem (see, e.g., [GH]), assume that the fixed-points set contains only isolated points. The aim of this paper, on the contrary, is to prove index theorems for holomorphic self-maps having a positive dimensional fixed-points set.

The origin of our interest in this problem lies in holomorphic dynamics. A main tool for the complete generalization to two complex variables of the classical Leau-Fatou flower theorem for maps tangent to the identity achieved in [A2] was an index theorem for holomorphic self-maps of a complex surface fixing pointwise a smooth complex curve S . This theorem (later generalized in [BT] to the case of a singular S) presented uncanny similarities with the Camacho-Sad index theorem for invariant leaves of a holomorphic foliation on a complex surface (see [CS]). So we started to investigate the reasons for these similarities; and this paper contains what we have found.

The main idea is that the simple fact of being pointwise fixed by a holomorphic self-map f induces a lot of structure on a (possibly singular) subvariety S of a complex manifold M . First of all, we shall introduce (in §3) a canonically defined holomorphic section X_f of the bundle $TM|_S \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$, where N_S is the normal bundle of S in M (here we are assuming S smooth; however, we can also define X_f as a section of a suitable sheaf even when S is singular — see Remark 3.3 — but it turns out that only the behavior on the regular part of S is relevant for our index theorems), and ν_f is a positive integer, the *order of contact* of f with S , measuring how close f is to being the identity in a neighborhood S (see §1). Roughly speaking, the section X_f describes the directions in which S is pushed by f ; see Proposition 8.1 for a more precise description of this phenomenon when S is a hypersurface.

The canonical section X_f can also be seen as a morphism from $N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ to $TM|_S$; its image Ξ_f is the *canonical distribution*. When Ξ_f is contained in TS (we shall say that f is *tangential*) and integrable (this happens for instance if S is a hypersurface), then on S we get a singular holomorphic

foliation induced by f — and this is a first concrete connection between our discrete dynamical theory and the continuous dynamics studied in foliation theory. We stress, however, that we get a well-defined foliation on S *only*, while in the continuous setting one usually assumes that S is invariant under a foliation defined in a *whole neighborhood* of S . Thus even in the tangential codimension-one case our results will not be a direct consequence of foliation theory.

As we shall momentarily discuss, to get index theorems it is important to have a section of $TS \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$ (as in the case when f is tangential) instead of merely a section of $TM|_S \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$. In Section 3, when f is not tangential (which is a situation akin to dicriticality for foliations; see Propositions 1.4 and 8.1) we shall define other holomorphic sections $H_{\sigma,f}$ and $H_{\sigma,f}^1$ of $TS \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$ which are as good as X_f when S satisfies a geometric condition which we call *comfortably embedded* in M , meaning, roughly speaking, that S is a first-order approximation of the zero section of a vector bundle (see §2 for the precise definition, amounting to the vanishing of two sheaf cohomology classes — or, in other terms, to the triviality of two canonical extensions of N_S).

The canonical section is not the only object we are able to associate to S . Having a section X of $TS \otimes F^*$, where F is any vector bundle on S , is equivalent to having an F^* -valued derivation $X^\#$ of the sheaf of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}_S$ (see §5). If E is another vector bundle on S , a *holomorphic action of F on E along X* is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map $\tilde{X}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}$ (where $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are the sheafs of germs of holomorphic sections of E and F) satisfying $\tilde{X}(gs) = X^\#(g) \otimes s + g\tilde{X}(s)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_S$ and $s \in E$; this is a generalization of the notion of $(1, 0)$ -connection on E (see Example 5.1).

In Section 5 we shall show that when S is a hypersurface and f is tangential (or S is comfortably embedded in M) there is a natural way to define a holomorphic action of $N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ on N_S along X_f (or along $H_{\sigma,f}$ or $H_{\sigma,f}^1$). And this will allow us to bring into play the general theory developed by Lehmann and Suwa (see, e.g., [Su]) on a cohomological approach to index theorems. So, exactly as Lehmann and Suwa generalized, to any dimension, the Camacho-Sad index theorem, we are able to generalize the index theorems of [A2] and [BT] in the following form (see Theorem 6.2):

THEOREM 0.1. *Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, possibly singular hypersurface in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f: M \rightarrow M$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be a holomorphic self-map of M fixing pointwise S , and denote by $\text{Sing}(f)$ the zero set of X_f . Assume that*

- (a) *f is tangential to S , and then set $X = X_f$, or that*
- (b) *$S^0 = S \setminus (\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(f))$ is comfortably embedded into M , and then set $X = H_{\sigma,f}$ if $\nu_f > 1$, or $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$ if $\nu_f = 1$.*

Assume moreover $X \not\equiv O$ (a condition always satisfied when f is tangential), and denote by $\text{Sing}(X)$ the zero set of X . Let $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X) = \bigcup_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\lambda}$ be the decomposition of $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X)$ in connected components. Finally, let $[S]$ be the line bundle on M associated to the divisor S . Then there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}(X, S, \Sigma_{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}$ depending only on the local behavior of X and $[S]$ near Σ_{λ} such that

$$\sum_{\lambda} \text{Res}(X, S, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_S c_1^{n-1}([S]),$$

where $c_1([S])$ is the first Chern class of $[S]$.

Furthermore, when Σ_{λ} is an isolated point $\{x_{\lambda}\}$, we have explicit formulas for the computation of the residues $\text{Res}(X, S, \{x_{\lambda}\})$; see Theorem 6.5.

Since X is a global section of $TS \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$, if S is smooth and X has only isolated zeroes it is well-known that the top Chern class $c_{n-1}(TS \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f})$ counts the zeroes of X . Our result shows that $c_1^{n-1}(N_S)$ is related in a similar (but deeper) way to the zero set of X . See also Section 8 for examples of results one can obtain using both Chern classes together.

If the codimension of S is greater than one, and S is smooth, we can blow-up M along S ; then the exceptional divisor E_S is a hypersurface, and we can apply to it the previous theorem. In this way we get (see Theorem 7.2):

THEOREM 0.2. *Let S be a compact complex submanifold of codimension $1 < m < n$ in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f: M \rightarrow M$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$, be a holomorphic self-map of M fixing pointwise S , and assume that f is tangential, or that $\nu_f > 1$ (or both). Let $\bigcup_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\lambda}$ be the decomposition in connected components of the set of singular directions (see §7 for the definition) for f in E_S . Then there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}(f, S, \Sigma_{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the local behavior of f and S near Σ_{λ} , such that*

$$\sum_{\lambda} \text{Res}(f, S, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_S \pi_* c_1^{n-1}([E_S]),$$

where π_* denotes integration along the fibers of the bundle $E_S \rightarrow S$.

Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 are only two of the index theorems we can derive using this approach. Indeed, we are also able to obtain versions for holomorphic self-maps of two other main index theorems of foliation theory, the Baum-Bott index theorem and the Lehmann-Suwa-Khanedani (or variation) index theorem: see Theorems 6.3, 6.4, 6.6, 7.3 and 7.4. In other words, it turns out that the existence of holomorphic actions of suitable complex vector bundles defined only on S is an efficient tool to get index theorems, both in our setting and (under slightly different assumptions) in foliation theory; and this is another reason for the similarities noticed in [A2].

Finally, in Section 8 we shall present a couple of applications of our results to the discrete dynamics of holomorphic self-maps of complex surfaces, thus closing the circle and coming back to the arguments that originally inspired our work.

1. The order of contact

Let M be an n -dimensional complex manifold, and $S \subset M$ an irreducible subvariety of codimension m . We shall denote by $\mathcal{O}_M$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions on M , and by $\mathcal{I}_S$ the subsheaf of functions vanishing on S . With a slight abuse of notations, we shall use the same symbol to denote both a germ at p and any representative defined in a neighborhood of p . We shall denote by TM the holomorphic tangent bundle of M , and by $\mathcal{T}_M$ the sheaf of germs of local holomorphic sections of TM . Finally, we shall denote by $\text{End}(M, S)$ the set of (germs about S of) holomorphic self-maps of M fixing S pointwise.

Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$ be given, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, and take $p \in S$. For every $h \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$ the germ $h \circ f$ is well-defined, and we have $h \circ f - h \in \mathcal{I}_{S,p}$.

Definition 1.1. The f -order of vanishing at p of $h \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$ is given by

$$\nu_f(h; p) = \max\{\mu \in \mathbb{N} \mid h \circ f - h \in \mathcal{I}_{S,p}^\mu\},$$

and the order of contact $\nu_f(p)$ of f at p with S by

$$\nu_f(p) = \min\{\nu_f(h; p) \mid h \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}\}.$$

We shall momentarily prove that $\nu_f(p)$ does not depend on p .

Let $(z^1, \dots, z^n)$ be local coordinates in a neighborhood of p . If h is any holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of p , the definition of order of contact yields the important relation

$$(1.1) \quad h \circ f - h = \sum_{j=1}^n (f^j - z^j) \frac{\partial h}{\partial z^j} \pmod{\mathcal{I}_{S,p}^{2\nu_f(p)}},$$

where $f^j = z^j \circ f$.

As a consequence we have

LEMMA 1.1. (i) Let $(z^1, \dots, z^n)$ be any set of local coordinates at $p \in S$. Then

$$\nu_f(p) = \min_{j=1, \dots, n} \{\nu_f(z^j; p)\}.$$

(ii) For any $h \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$ the function $p \mapsto \nu_f(h; p)$ is constant in a neighborhood of p .

(iii) The function $p \mapsto \nu_f(p)$ is constant.

Proof. (i) Clearly, $\nu_f(p) \leq \min_{j=1,\dots,n} \{\nu_f(z^j; p)\}$. The opposite inequality follows from (1.1).

(ii) Let $h \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$, and choose a set $\{\ell^1, \dots, \ell^k\}$ of generators of $\mathcal{I}_{S,p}$. Then we can write

$$(1.2) \quad h \circ f - h = \sum_{|I|=\nu_f(h;p)} \ell^I g_I,$$

where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ is a k -multi-index, $|I| = i_1 + \dots + i_k$, $\ell^I = (\ell^1)^{i_1} \dots (\ell^k)^{i_k}$ and $g_I \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$. Furthermore, there is a multi-index I_0 such that $g_{I_0} \notin \mathcal{I}_{S,p}$. By the coherence of the sheaf of ideals of S , the relation (1.2) holds for the corresponding germs at all points $q \in S$ in a neighborhood of p . Furthermore, $g_{I_0} \notin \mathcal{I}_{S,p}$ means that $g_{I_0}|_S \not\equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of p , and thus $g_{I_0} \notin \mathcal{I}_{S,q}$ for all $q \in S$ close enough to p . Putting these two observations together we get the assertion.

(iii) By (i) and (ii) we see that the function $p \mapsto \nu_f(p)$ is locally constant and since S is connected, it is constant everywhere. $\square$

We shall then denote by ν_f the *order of contact* of f with S , computed at any point $p \in S$.

As we shall see, it is important to compare the order of contact of f with the f -order of vanishing of germs in $\mathcal{I}_{S,p}$.

Definition 1.2. We say that f is *tangential* at p if

$$\min\{\nu_f(h;p) \mid h \in \mathcal{I}_{S,p}\} > \nu_f.$$

LEMMA 1.2. Let $\{\ell^1, \dots, \ell^k\}$ be a set of generators of $\mathcal{I}_{S,p}$. Then

$$\nu_f(h;p) \geq \min\{\nu_f(\ell^1;p), \dots, \nu_f(\ell^k;p), \nu_f + 1\}$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{I}_{S,p}$. In particular, f is tangential at p if and only if

$$\min\{\nu_f(\ell^1;p), \dots, \nu_f(\ell^k;p)\} > \nu_f.$$

Proof. Let us write $h = g_1 \ell^1 + \dots + g_k \ell^k$ for suitable $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$. Then

$$h \circ f - h = \sum_{j=1}^k [(g_j \circ f)(\ell^j \circ f - \ell^j) + (g_j \circ f - g_j)\ell^j],$$

and the assertion follows. $\square$

COROLLARY 1.3. If f is tangential at one point $p \in S$, then it is tangential at all points of S .

Proof. The coherence of the sheaf of ideals of S implies that if $\{\ell^1, \dots, \ell^k\}$ are generators of $\mathcal{I}_{S,p}$ then the corresponding germs are generators of $\mathcal{I}_{S,q}$ for

all $q \in S$ close enough to p . Then Lemmas 1.1.(ii) and 1.2 imply that both the set of points where f is tangential and the set of points where f is not tangential are open; hence the assertion follows because S is connected. $\square$

Of course, we shall then say that f is *tangential* along S if it is tangential at any point of S .

Example 1.1. Let p be a smooth point of S , and choose local coordinates $z = (z^1, \dots, z^n)$ defined in a neighborhood U of p , centered at p and such that $S \cap U = \{z^1 = \dots = z^m = 0\}$. We shall write $z' = (z^1, \dots, z^m)$ and $z'' = (z^{m+1}, \dots, z^n)$, so that z'' yields local coordinates on S . Take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$; then in local coordinates the map f can be written as $(f^1, \dots, f^n)$ with

$$f^j(z) = z^j + \sum_{h \geq 1} P_h^j(z', z''),$$

where each P_h^j is a homogeneous polynomial of degree h in the variables z' , with coefficients depending holomorphically on z'' . Then Lemma 1.1 yields

$$\nu_f = \min\{h \geq 1 \mid \exists 1 \leq j \leq n : P_h^j \neq 0\}.$$

Furthermore, $\{z^1, \dots, z^m\}$ is a set of generators of $\mathcal{I}_{S,p}$; therefore by Lemma 1.2 the map f is tangential if and only if

$$\min\{h \geq 1 \mid \exists 1 \leq j \leq m : P_h^j \neq 0\} > \min\{h \geq 1 \mid \exists m+1 \leq j \leq n : P_h^j \neq 0\}.$$

Remark 1.1. When S is smooth, the differential of f acts linearly on the normal bundle N_S of S in M . If S is a hypersurface, N_S is a line bundle, and the action is multiplication by a holomorphic function b ; if S is compact, this function is a constant. It is easy to check that in local coordinates chosen as in the previous example the expression of the function b is exactly $1 + P_1^1(z)/z^1$; therefore we must have $P_1^1(z) = (b_f - 1)z^1$ for a suitable constant $b_f \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, if $b_f \neq 1$ then necessarily $\nu_f = 1$ and f is not tangential along S .

Remark 1.2. The number μ introduced in [BT, (2)] is, by Lemma 1.1, our order of contact; therefore our notion of tangential is equivalent to the notion of nondegeneracy defined in [BT] when $n = 2$ and $m = 1$. On the other hand, as already remarked in [BT], a nondegenerate map in the sense defined in [A2] when $n = 2$, $m = 1$ and S is smooth is tangential if and only if $b_f = 1$ (which was the case mainly considered in that paper).

Example 1.2. A particularly interesting example (actually, the one inspiring this paper) of map $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$ is obtained by blowing up a map tangent to the identity. Let f_o be a (germ of) holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{C}^n$ (or of any complex n -manifold) fixing the origin (or any other point) and *tangent to the*

identity, that is, such that $d(f_o)_O = \text{id}$. If $\pi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ denotes the blow-up of the origin, let $S = \pi^{-1}(O) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the exceptional divisor, and $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$ the lifting of f_o , that is, the unique holomorphic self-map of M such that $f_o \circ \pi = \pi \circ f$ (see, e.g., [A1] for details). If

$$f_o^j(w) = w^j + \sum_{h \geq 2} Q_h^j(w)$$

is the expansion of f_o^j in a series of homogeneous polynomials (for $j = 1, \dots, n$), then in the canonical coordinates centered in $p = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ the map f is given by

$$f^j(z) = \begin{cases} z^1 + \sum_{h \geq 2} Q_h^1(1, z'')(z^1)^h & \text{for } j = 1, \\ z^j + \frac{\sum_{h \geq 2} [Q_h^j(1, z'') - z^j Q_h^1(1, z'')](z^1)^{h-1}}{1 + \sum_{h \geq 2} Q_h^1(1, z'')(z^1)^{h-1}} & \text{for } j = 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

where $z'' = (z^2, \dots, z^n)$. Therefore $b_f = 1$,

$$\nu_f(z^1; p) = \min\{h \geq 2 \mid Q_h^1(1, z'') \neq 0\},$$

and

$$\nu_f = \min\{\nu_f(z^1; p), \min\{h \geq 1 \mid \exists 2 \leq j \leq n : Q_{h+1}^j(1, z'') - z^j Q_{h+1}^1(1, z'') \neq 0\}\}.$$

Let $\nu(f_o) \geq 2$ be the order of f_o , that is, the minimum h such that $Q_h^j \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. Clearly, $\nu_f(z^1; p) \geq \nu(f_o)$ and $\nu_f \geq \nu(f_o) - 1$. More precisely, if there is $2 \leq j \leq n$ such that $Q_{\nu(f_o)}^j(1, z'') \neq z^j Q_{\nu(f_o)}^1(1, z'')$, then $\nu_f = \nu(f_o) - 1$ and f is tangential. If on the other hand we have $Q_{\nu(f_o)}^j(1, z'') \equiv z^j Q_{\nu(f_o)}^1(1, z'')$ for all $2 \leq j \leq n$, then necessarily $Q_{\nu(f_o)}^1(1, z'') \neq 0$, $\nu_f(z^1; p) = \nu(f_o) = \nu_f$, and f is not tangential.

Borrowing a term from continuous dynamics, we say that a map f_o tangent to the identity at the origin is *dicritical* if $w^h Q_{\nu(f_o)}^k(w) \equiv w^k Q_{\nu(f_o)}^h(w)$ for all $1 \leq h, k \leq n$. Then we have proved that:

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let $f_o \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n, O)$ be a (germ of) holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{C}^n$ tangent to the identity at the origin, and let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$ be its blow-up. Then f is not tangential if and only if f_o is dicritical. Furthermore, $\nu_f = \nu(f_o) - 1$ if f_o is not dicritical, and $\nu_f = \nu(f_o)$ if f_o is dicritical.*

In particular, most maps obtained with this procedure are tangential.

2. Comfortably embedded submanifolds

Up to now S was any complex subvariety of the manifold M . However, some of the proofs in the following sections do not work in this generality; so this section is devoted to describe the kind of properties we shall (sometimes) need on S .

Let E' and E'' be two vector bundles on the same manifold S . We recall (see, e.g., [Ati, §1]) that an *extension* of E'' by E' is an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$O \longrightarrow E' \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\pi} E'' \longrightarrow O.$$

Two extensions are *equivalent* if there is an isomorphism of exact sequences which is the identity on E' and E'' .

A *splitting* of an extension $O \longrightarrow E' \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\pi} E'' \longrightarrow O$ is a morphism $\sigma: E'' \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_{E''}$. In particular, $E = \iota(E') \oplus \sigma(E'')$, and we shall say that the extension *splits*. We explicitly remark that an extension splits if and only if it is equivalent to the trivial extension $O \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E' \oplus E'' \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow O$.

Let S now be a complex submanifold of a complex manifold M . We shall denote by $TM|_S$ the restriction to S of the tangent bundle of M , and by $N_S = TM|_S / TS$ the normal bundle of S into M . Furthermore, $\mathcal{T}_{M,S}$ will be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of $TM|_S$ (which is different from the restriction $\mathcal{T}_M|_S$ to S of the sheaf of holomorphic sections of TM), and $\mathcal{N}_S$ the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of N_S .

Definition 2.1. Let S be a complex submanifold of codimension m in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . A chart (U_α, z_α) of M is *adapted* to S if either $S \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$ or $S \cap U_\alpha = \{z_\alpha^1 = \dots = z_\alpha^m = 0\}$, where $z_\alpha = (z_\alpha^1, \dots, z_\alpha^n)$. In particular, $\{z_\alpha^1, \dots, z_\alpha^m\}$ is a set of generators of $\mathcal{I}_{S,p}$ for all $p \in S \cap U_\alpha$. An atlas $\mathfrak{U} = \{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}$ of M is *adapted* to S if all charts in $\mathfrak{U}$ are. If $\mathfrak{U} = \{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}$ is adapted to S we shall denote by $\mathfrak{U}_S = \{(U''_\alpha, z''_\alpha)\}$ the atlas of S given by $U''_\alpha = U_\alpha \cap S$ and $z''_\alpha = (z_\alpha^{m+1}, \dots, z_\alpha^n)$, where we are clearly considering only the indices such that $U_\alpha \cap S \neq \emptyset$. If (U_α, z_α) is a chart adapted to S , we shall denote by $\partial_{\alpha,r}$ the projection of $\partial/\partial z_\alpha^r|_{S \cap U_\alpha}$ in N_S , and by ω_α^r the local section of N_S^* induced by $dz_\alpha^r|_{S \cap U_\alpha}$; thus $\{\partial_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \partial_{\alpha,m}\}$ and $\{\omega_\alpha^1, \dots, \omega_\alpha^m\}$ are local frames for N_S and N_S^* respectively over $U_\alpha \cap S$, dual to each other.

From now on, every chart and atlas we consider on M will be adapted to S .

Remark 2.1. We shall use the Einstein convention on the sum over repeated indices. Furthermore, indices like j, h, k will run from 1 to n ; indices like r, s, t, u, v will run from 1 to m ; and indices like p, q will run from $m+1$ to n .

Definition 2.2. We shall say that S splits into M if the extension $O \rightarrow TS \rightarrow TM|_S \rightarrow N_S \rightarrow O$ splits.

Example 2.1. It is well-known that if S is a rational smooth curve with negative self-intersection in a surface M , then S splits into M .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let S be a complex submanifold of codimension m in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Then S splits into M if and only if there is an atlas $\hat{\mathfrak{U}} = \{(\hat{U}_\alpha, \hat{z}_\alpha)\}$ adapted to S such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \hat{z}_\beta^p}{\partial \hat{z}_\alpha^r} \right|_S \equiv 0,$$

for all $r = 1, \dots, m$, $p = m + 1, \dots, n$ and indices α and β .

Proof. It is well known (see, e.g., [Ati, Prop. 2]) that there is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of extensions of N_S by TS and the cohomology group $H^1(S, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S, \mathcal{T}_S))$, and an extension splits if and only if it corresponds to the zero cohomology class.

The class corresponding to the extension $O \rightarrow TS \rightarrow TM|_S \rightarrow N_S \rightarrow O$ is the class $\delta(\text{id}_{N_S})$, where $\delta: H^0(S, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S, \mathcal{N}_S)) \rightarrow H^1(S, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S, \mathcal{T}_S))$ is the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence of cohomology associated to the short exact sequence obtained by applying the functor $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S, \cdot)$ to the extension sequence. More precisely, if $\mathfrak{U}$ is an atlas adapted to S , we get a local splitting morphism $\sigma_\alpha: N_{U_\alpha''} \rightarrow TM|_{U_\alpha''}$ by setting $\sigma_\alpha(\partial_{r,\alpha}) = \partial/\partial z_\alpha^r$, and then the element of $H^1(\mathfrak{U}_S, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S, \mathcal{T}_S))$ associated to the extension is $\{\sigma_\beta - \sigma_\alpha\}$. Now,

$$(\sigma_\beta - \sigma_\alpha)(\partial_{r,\alpha}) = \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^s}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \right|_S \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\beta^s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^r} = \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^s}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \frac{\partial z_\alpha^p}{\partial z_\beta^s} \right|_S \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha^p}.$$

So, if (2.1) holds, then S splits into M . Conversely, assume that S splits into M ; then we can find an atlas $\mathfrak{U}$ adapted to S and a 0-cochain $\{c_\alpha\} \in H^0(\mathfrak{U}_S, \mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^*)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^s}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \frac{\partial z_\alpha^p}{\partial z_\beta^s} \right|_S = (c_\beta)_s^q \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^s}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \frac{\partial z_\alpha^p}{\partial z_\beta^q} \right|_S - (c_\alpha)_r^p$$

on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap S$. We claim that the coordinates

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{z}_\alpha^r = z_\alpha^r, \\ \hat{z}_\alpha^p = z_\alpha^p + (c_\alpha)_s^p (z_\alpha'')^s \end{cases}$$

satisfy (2.1) when restricted to suitable open sets $\hat{U}_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$. Indeed, (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{z}_\beta^p}{\partial \hat{z}_\alpha^r} &= \frac{\partial \hat{z}_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \frac{\partial z_\alpha^s}{\partial \hat{z}_\alpha^r} + \frac{\partial \hat{z}_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^q} \frac{\partial z_\alpha^q}{\partial \hat{z}_\alpha^r} = \frac{\partial \hat{z}_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^r} - (c_\alpha)_r^q \frac{\partial \hat{z}_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^q} + R_1 \\ &= \frac{\partial z_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^r} + (c_\beta)_s^p \frac{\partial z_\beta^s}{\partial z_\alpha^r} - (c_\alpha)_r^q \frac{\partial z_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^q} + R_1 = R_1, \end{aligned}$$

where R_1 denotes terms vanishing on S , and we are done. $\square$

Definition 2.3. Assume that S splits into M . An atlas $\mathfrak{U} = \{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}$ adapted to S and satisfying (2.1) will be called a *splitting atlas* for S . It is easy to see that for any splitting morphism $\sigma: N_S \rightarrow TM|_S$ there exists a splitting atlas $\mathfrak{U}$ such that $\sigma(\partial_{r,\alpha}) = \partial/\partial z_\alpha^r$ for all $r = 1, \dots, m$ and indices α ; we shall say that $\mathfrak{U}$ is *adapted* to σ .

Example 2.2. A *local holomorphic retraction* of M onto S is a holomorphic retraction $\rho: W \rightarrow S$, where W is a neighborhood of S in M . It is clear that the existence of such a local holomorphic retraction implies that S splits into M .

Example 2.3. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow S$ be a rank m holomorphic vector bundle on S . If we identify S with the zero section of the vector bundle, π becomes a (global) holomorphic retraction of M on S . The charts given by the trivialization of the bundle clearly give a splitting atlas. Furthermore, if (U_α, z_α) and (U_β, z_β) are two such charts, we have $z_\beta'' = \varphi_{\beta\alpha}(z_\alpha'')$ and $z_\beta' = a_{\beta\alpha}(z_\alpha'')z_\alpha'$, where $a_{\beta\alpha}$ is an invertible matrix depending only on z_α'' . In particular, we have

$$\frac{\partial z_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 z_\beta^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s \partial z_\alpha^t} \equiv 0$$

for all $r, s, t = 1, \dots, m$, $p = m+1, \dots, n$ and indices α and β .

The previous example, compared with (2.1), suggests the following

Definition 2.4. Let S be a codimension m complex submanifold of an n -dimensional complex manifold M . We say that S is *comfortably embedded* in M if S splits into M and there exists a splitting atlas $\mathfrak{U} = \{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 z_\beta^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s \partial z_\alpha^t} \right|_S \equiv 0$$

for all $r, s, t = 1, \dots, m$ and indices α and β .

An atlas satisfying the previous condition is said to be *comfortable* for S . Roughly speaking, then, a comfortably embedded submanifold is like a first-order approximation of the zero section of a vector bundle.

Let us express condition (2.4) in a different way. If (U_α, z_α) and (U_β, z_β) are two charts about $p \in S$ adapted to S , we can write

$$(2.5) \quad z_\beta^r = (a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r z_\alpha^s$$

for suitable $(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r \in \mathcal{O}_{M,p}$. The germs $(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r$ (unless $m = 1$) are not uniquely determined by (2.5); indeed, all the other solutions of (2.5) are of the form $(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r + e_s^r$, where the e_s^r 's are holomorphic and satisfy

$$(2.6) \quad e_s^r z_\alpha^s \equiv 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to z_α^t we get

$$(2.7) \quad e_t^r + \frac{\partial e_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} z_\alpha^s \equiv 0;$$

in particular, $e_t^r|_S \equiv 0$, and so the restriction of $(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r$ to S is uniquely determined — and it indeed gives the 1-cocycle of the normal bundle N_S with respect to the atlas $\mathfrak{U}_S$.

Differentiating (2.7) we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\partial e_t^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s} + \frac{\partial e_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial^2 e_u^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s \partial z_\alpha^t} z_\alpha^u \equiv 0;$$

in particular,

$$\left[\frac{\partial e_t^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s} + \frac{\partial e_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} \right] \Big|_S \equiv 0,$$

and so the restriction of

$$\frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_t^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s} + \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t}$$

to S is uniquely determined for all $r, s, t = 1, \dots, m$.

With this notation, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 z_\beta^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s \partial z_\alpha^t} = \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_t^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s} + \frac{\partial^2(a_{\beta\alpha})_u^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s \partial z_\alpha^t} z_\alpha^u;$$

therefore (2.4) is equivalent to requiring

$$(2.9) \quad \left(\frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_t^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s} + \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} \right) \Big|_S \equiv 0$$

for all $r, s, t = 1, \dots, m$, and indices α and β .

Example 2.4. It is easy to check that the exceptional divisor S in Example 1.2 is comfortably embedded into the blow-up M .

Then the main result of this section is

THEOREM 2.2. *Let S be a codimension m complex submanifold of an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Assume that S splits into M , and let $\mathfrak{U} = \{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}$ be a splitting atlas. Define a 1-cochain $\{h_{\beta\alpha}\}$ of $\mathcal{N}_S \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^* \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^*$ by setting*

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{\beta\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial z_\alpha^r}{\partial z_\beta^u} \frac{\partial^2 z_\beta^u}{\partial z_\alpha^s \partial z_\alpha^t} \Big|_S \partial_{\alpha,r} \otimes \omega_\alpha^s \otimes \omega_\alpha^t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{\alpha\beta})_u^r \left(\frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^u}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_t^u}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right) \Big|_S \partial_{\alpha,r} \otimes \omega_\alpha^s \otimes \omega_\alpha^t. \end{aligned}$$

Then:

- (i) $\{h_{\beta\alpha}\}$ defines an element $[h] \in H^1(S, \mathcal{N}_S \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^* \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^*)$ independent of $\mathfrak{U}$;
- (ii) S is comfortably embedded in M if and only if $[h] = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let us first prove that $\{h_{\beta\alpha}\}$ is a 1-cocycle with values in $\mathcal{N}_S \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^* \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^*$. We know that

$$(a_{\alpha\beta})_u^r (a_{\beta\alpha})_s^u = \delta_s^r + e_s^r,$$

where δ_s^r is Kronecker's delta, and the e_s^r 's satisfy (2.6). Differentiating we get

$$\frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_u^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} (a_{\beta\alpha})_s^u + (a_{\alpha\beta})_u^r \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^u}{\partial z_\alpha^t} = \frac{\partial e_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t},$$

therefore (2.8) yields

$$(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^u \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_u^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} \Big|_S + (a_{\beta\alpha})_t^u \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_u^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \Big|_S = -(a_{\alpha\beta})_u^r \left(\frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^u}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_t^u}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right) \Big|_S.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{\beta\alpha})_u^r \left(\frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_s^u}{\partial z_\beta^t} + \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_t^u}{\partial z_\beta^s} \right) \Big|_S \partial_{\beta,r} \otimes \omega_\beta^s \otimes \omega_\beta^t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{\beta\alpha})_u^r (a_{\alpha\beta})_{r_1}^{r_1} (a_{\beta\alpha})_{s_1}^s (a_{\beta\alpha})_{t_1}^t \\ &\quad \times \left((a_{\alpha\beta})_{t_1}^{t_1} \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_s^u}{\partial z_\alpha^{t_2}} + (a_{\alpha\beta})_{s_2}^{s_2} \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_t^u}{\partial z_\alpha^{s_2}} \right) \Big|_S \partial_{\alpha,r_1} \otimes \omega_\alpha^{s_1} \otimes \omega_\alpha^{t_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left((a_{\beta\alpha})_{s_1}^s \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_{s_1}^{r_1}}{\partial z_\alpha^{t_1}} + (a_{\beta\alpha})_{t_1}^t \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha\beta})_{t_1}^{r_1}}{\partial z_\alpha^{s_1}} \right) \Big|_S \partial_{\alpha,r_1} \otimes \omega_\alpha^{s_1} \otimes \omega_\alpha^{t_1} \\ &= -h_{\beta\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we used (2.1). Analogously one proves that $h_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\beta\gamma} + h_{\gamma\alpha} = 0$, and thus $\{h_{\beta\alpha}\}$ is a 1-cocycle as claimed.

Now we have to prove that the cohomology class $[h]$ is independent of the atlas $\mathfrak{U}$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{U}} = \{(\hat{U}_\alpha, \hat{z}_\alpha)\}$ be another splitting atlas; up to taking a common

refinement we can assume that $U_\alpha = \hat{U}_\alpha$ for all α . Choose $(A_\alpha)_s^r \in \mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$ so that $\hat{z}_\alpha^r = (A_\alpha)_s^r z_\alpha^s$; as usual, the restrictions to S of $(A_\alpha)_s^r$ and of

$$\frac{\partial(A_\alpha)_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial(A_\alpha)_t^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s}$$

are uniquely defined. Set, now,

$$C_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(A_\alpha^{-1})_u^r \left[\frac{\partial(A_\alpha)_s^u}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial(A_\alpha)_t^u}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right] \Big|_S \partial_{\alpha,r} \otimes \omega_\alpha^s \otimes \omega_\alpha^t;$$

then it is not difficult to check that

$$h_{\beta\alpha} - \hat{h}_{\beta\alpha} = C_\beta - C_\alpha,$$

where $\{\hat{h}_{\beta\alpha}\}$ is the 1-cocycle built using $\hat{\mathfrak{U}}$, and this means exactly that both $\{h_{\beta\alpha}\}$ and $\{\hat{h}_{\beta\alpha}\}$ determine the same cohomology class.

(ii) If S is comfortably embedded, using a comfortable atlas we immediately see that $[h] = 0$. Conversely, assume that $[h] = 0$; therefore we can find a splitting atlas $\mathfrak{U}$ and a 0-cochain $\{c_\alpha\}$ of $\mathcal{N}_S \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^* \otimes \mathcal{N}_S^*$ such that $h_{\beta\alpha} = c_\alpha - c_\beta$. Writing

$$c_\alpha = (c_\alpha)_{st}^r \partial_{\alpha,r} \otimes \omega_\alpha^s \otimes \omega_\alpha^t,$$

with $(c_\alpha)_{ts}^r$ symmetric in the lower indices, we define $\hat{z}_\alpha$ by setting

$$\begin{cases} \hat{z}_\alpha^r = z_\alpha^r + (c_\alpha)_{st}^r z_\alpha^s z_\alpha^t & \text{for } r = 1, \dots, m, \\ \hat{z}_\alpha^p = z_\alpha^p & \text{for } p = m+1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

on a suitable $\hat{U}_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{U}} = \{(\hat{U}_\alpha, \hat{z}_\alpha)\}$ clearly is a splitting atlas; we claim that it is comfortable too. Indeed, by definition the functions

$$(\hat{a}_{\beta\alpha})_s^r = [\delta_u^r + (c_\beta)_{uv}^r (a_{\beta\alpha})_t^v z_\alpha^t] (a_{\beta\alpha})_{u_1}^u d_s^{u_1}$$

satisfy (2.5) for $\hat{\mathfrak{U}}$, where the $d_s^{u_1}$'s are such that $z_\alpha^{u_1} = d_s^{u_1} \hat{z}_\alpha^s$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial(\hat{a}_{\beta\alpha})_s^r}{\partial \hat{z}_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial(\hat{a}_{\beta\alpha})_t^r}{\partial \hat{z}_\alpha^s} \right) \Big|_S &= 2(c_\beta)_{uv}^r (a_{\beta\alpha})_s^u (a_{\beta\alpha})_t^v \Big|_S + \left(\frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_s^r}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial(a_{\beta\alpha})_t^r}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right) \Big|_S \\ &\quad + (a_{\beta\alpha})_u^r \left(\frac{\partial d_s^u}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial d_t^u}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right) \Big|_S. \end{aligned}$$

Now, differentiating

$$z_\alpha^u = d_v^u (z_\alpha^v + (c_\alpha)_{rs}^v z_\alpha^r z_\alpha^s)$$

we get

$$\delta_t^u = \frac{\partial d_v^u}{\partial z_\alpha^t} (z_\alpha^v + (c_\alpha)_{rs}^v z_\alpha^r z_\alpha^s) + d_v^u (\delta_t^v + 2(c_\alpha)_{rt}^v z_\alpha^r)$$

and

$$0 = \left(\frac{\partial d_s^u}{\partial z_\alpha^t} + \frac{\partial d_t^u}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right) \Big|_S + 2(c_\alpha)_{st}^u.$$

Recalling that $h_{\beta\alpha} = c_\alpha - c_\beta$ we then see that $\hat{\mathfrak{U}}$ satisfies (2.9), and we are done. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Since $N_S \otimes N_S^* \otimes N_S^* \cong \text{Hom}(N_S, \text{Hom}(N_S, N_S))$, the previous theorem asserts that to any submanifold S splitting into M we can canonically associate an extension

$$O \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N_S, N_S) \rightarrow E \rightarrow N_S \rightarrow O$$

of N_S by $\text{Hom}(N_S, N_S)$, and S is comfortably embedded in M if and only if this extension splits. See also [ABT] for more details on comfortably embedded submanifolds.

3. The canonical sections

Our next aim is to associate to any $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$ different from the identity a section of a suitable vector bundle, indicating (very roughly speaking) how f would move S if it did not keep it fixed. To do so, in this section we still assume that S is a smooth complex submanifold of a complex manifold M ; however, in Remark 3.3 we shall describe the changes needed to avoid this assumption.

Given $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, it is clear that $df|_{TS} = \text{id}$; therefore $df - \text{id}$ induces a map from N_S to $TM|_S$, and thus a holomorphic section over S of the bundle $TM|_S \otimes N_S^*$. If (U, z) is a chart adapted to S , we can define germs g_r^h for $h = 1, \dots, n$ and $r = 1, \dots, m$ by writing

$$z^h \circ f - z^h = z^1 g_1^h + \dots + z^m g_m^h.$$

It is easy to check that the germ of the section of $TM|_S \otimes N_S^*$ defined by $df - \text{id}$ is locally expressed by

$$g_r^h|_{U \cap S} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h} \otimes \omega^r,$$

where we are again indicating by ω^r the germ of section of the conormal bundle induced by the 1-form dz^r restricted to S .

A problem with this section is that it vanishes identically if (and only if) $\nu_f > 1$. The solution consists in expanding f at a higher order.

Definition 3.1. Given a chart (U, z) adapted to S , set $f^j = z^j \circ f$, and write

$$(3.1) \quad f^j - z^j = z^{r_1} \dots z^{r_{\nu_f}} g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j,$$

where the $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j$'s are symmetric in $r_1, \dots, r_{\nu_f}$ and do not all vanish restricted to S . Let us then define

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{X}_f = g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h} \otimes dz^{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dz^{r_{\nu_f}}.$$

This is a local section of $TM \otimes (T^*M)^{\otimes \nu_f}$, defined in a neighborhood of a point of S ; furthermore, when restricted to S , it induces a local section of $TM|_S \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$.

Remark 3.1. When $m > 1$ the $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j$'s are *not* uniquely determined by (3.1). Indeed, if $e_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j$ are such that

$$(3.3) \quad e_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j z^1 \dots z^{r_{\nu_f}} \equiv 0$$

then $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j + e_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j$ still satisfies (3.1). This means that the section (3.2) is not uniquely determined too; but, as we shall see, this will not be a problem. For instance, (3.3) implies that $e_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j \in \mathcal{I}_S$; therefore $\mathcal{X}_f|_{U \cap S}$ is always uniquely determined — though *a priori* it might depend on the chosen chart. On the other hand, when $m = 1$ both the $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j$'s and $\mathcal{X}_f$ are uniquely determined; this is one of the reasons making the codimension-one case simpler than the general case.

We have already remarked that when $\nu_f = 1$ the section $\mathcal{X}_f$ restricted to $U \cap S$ coincides with the restriction of $df - \text{id}$ to S . Therefore when $\nu_f = 1$ the restriction of $\mathcal{X}_f$ to S gives a globally well-defined section. Actually, this holds for any $\nu_f \geq 1$:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. Then the restriction of $\mathcal{X}_f$ to S induces a global holomorphic section X_f of the bundle $TM|_S \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$.*

Proof. Let (U, z) and $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ be two charts about $p \in S$ adapted to S . Then we can find holomorphic functions a_s^r such that

$$(3.4) \quad \hat{z}^r = a_s^r z^s;$$

in particular,

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\partial \hat{z}^r}{\partial z^s} = a_s^r \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \hat{z}^r}{\partial z^p} = 0 \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S}.$$

Now set $f^j = z^j \circ f$, $\hat{f}^j = \hat{z}^j \circ f$, and define $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j$ and $\hat{g}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j$ using (3.1) with (U, z) and $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ respectively. Then (3.4) and (1.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} a_{s_1}^{r_1} \dots a_{s_{\nu_f}}^{r_{\nu_f}} \hat{g}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j z^{s_1} \dots z^{s_{\nu_f}} &= \hat{g}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j \hat{z}^{r_1} \dots \hat{z}^{r_{\nu_f}} \\ &= \hat{f}^j - \hat{z}^j = (f^h - z^h) \frac{\partial \hat{z}^j}{\partial z^h} + R_{2\nu_f} \\ &= g_{s_1 \dots s_{\nu_f}}^h \frac{\partial \hat{z}^j}{\partial z^h} z^{s_1} \dots z^{s_{\nu_f}} + R_{2\nu_f}, \end{aligned}$$

where the remainder terms $R_{2\nu_f}$ belong to $\mathcal{I}_S^{2\nu_f}$. Therefore we find

$$(3.6) \quad a_{s_1}^{r_1} \dots a_{s_{\nu_f}}^{r_{\nu_f}} \hat{g}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j = \frac{\partial \hat{z}^j}{\partial z^h} g_{s_1 \dots s_{\nu_f}}^h \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S}.$$

Recalling (3.5) we then get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{g}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}^j} \otimes d\hat{z}^{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes d\hat{z}^{r_{\nu_f}} \\
&= \frac{\partial z^h}{\partial \hat{z}^j} \frac{\partial \hat{z}^{r_1}}{\partial z^{k_1}} \dots \frac{\partial \hat{z}^{r_{\nu_f}}}{\partial z^{k_{\nu_f}}} \hat{g}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h} \otimes dz^{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dz^{k_{\nu_f}} \\
&= a_{s_1}^{r_1} \dots a_{s_{\nu_f}}^{r_{\nu_f}} \hat{g}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j \frac{\partial z^h}{\partial \hat{z}^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h} \otimes dz^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dz^{s_{\nu_f}} \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S} \\
&= g_{s_1 \dots s_{\nu_f}}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h} \otimes dz^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dz^{s_{\nu_f}} \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S},
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. $\square$

Remark 3.2. For later use, we explicitly notice that when $m = 1$ the germs a_s^r are uniquely determined, and (3.6) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad (a_1^1)^{\nu_f} \hat{g}_{1 \dots 1}^j = \frac{\partial \hat{z}^j}{\partial z^h} g_{1 \dots 1}^h \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S^{\nu_f}}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. The *canonical section* $X_f \in H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_{M,S} \otimes (\mathcal{N}_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f})$ associated to f is defined by setting

$$(3.8) \quad X_f = g_{s_1 \dots s_{\nu_f}}^h |_S \frac{\partial}{\partial z^h} \otimes \omega^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{s_{\nu_f}}$$

in any chart adapted to S . Since $(N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f} = (N_S^{\otimes \nu_f})^*$, we can also think of X_f as a holomorphic section of $\text{Hom}(N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}, TM|_S)$, and introduce the *canonical distribution* $\Xi_f = X_f(N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}) \subseteq TM|_S$.

In particular we can now justify the term “tangential” previously introduced:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. Then f is tangential if and only if the canonical distribution is tangent to S , that is if and only if $\Xi_f \subseteq TS$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1.2. $\square$

Example 3.1. By the notation introduced in Example 1.2, if f is obtained by blowing up a map f_o tangent to the identity, then the canonical coordinates centered in $p = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ are adapted to S . In particular, if f_o is non-dicritical (that is, if f is tangential) then in a neighborhood of p ,

$$X_f = [Q_{\nu(f_o)}^q(1, z'') - z^q Q_{\nu(f_o)}^1(1, z'')] \frac{\partial}{\partial z^q} \otimes (\omega^1)^{\otimes (\nu(f_o)-1)}.$$

Remark 3.3. To be more precise, X_f is a section of the subsheaf $\mathcal{T}_{M,S} \otimes \text{Sym}^{\nu_f}(\mathcal{N}_S^*)$, where $\text{Sym}^{\nu_f}(\mathcal{N}_S^*)$ is the symmetric ν_f -fold tensor product of $\mathcal{N}_S^*$.

Now, the sheaf $\mathcal{N}_S^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$, and it is known that $\mathrm{Sym}^{\nu_f} \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_S^{\nu_f}/\mathcal{I}_S^{\nu_f+1}$. This allows us to define X_f as a global section of the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{M,S} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{\nu_f}(\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2)$ even when S is singular. Indeed, if (U, z) is a local chart adapted to S , for $j = 1, \dots, n$ the functions $f^j - z^j$ determine local sections $[f^j - z^j]$ of $\mathcal{I}_S^{\nu_f}/\mathcal{I}_S^{\nu_f+1}$. But, since for any other chart $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$,

$$\hat{f}^j - \hat{z}^j = (f^h - z^h) \frac{\partial \hat{z}^j}{\partial z^h} + R_{2\nu_f},$$

then $(\partial/\partial z^j) \otimes [f^j - z^j]$ is a well-defined global section of $\mathcal{T}_{M,S} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{\nu_f}(\mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2)$ which coincides with X_f when S is smooth.

Remark 3.4. When f is tangential and Ξ_f is involutive as a sub-distribution of TS — for instance when $m = 1$ — we thus get a holomorphic singular foliation on S canonically associated to f . As already remarked in [Br], this possibly is the reason explaining the similarities discovered in [A2] between the local dynamics of holomorphic maps tangent to the identity and the dynamics of singular holomorphic foliations.

Definition 3.3. A point $p \in S$ is *singular* for f if there exists $v \in (N_S)_p$, $v \neq 0$, such that $X_f(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) = 0$. We shall denote by $\mathrm{Sing}(f)$ the set of singular points of f .

In Section 7 it will become clear why we choose this definition for singular points. In Section 8 we shall describe a dynamical interpretation of X_f at nonsingular points in the codimension-one case; see Proposition 8.1.

Remark 3.5. If S is a hypersurface, the normal bundle is a line bundle. Therefore Ξ_f is a 1-dimensional distribution, and the singular points of f are the points where Ξ_f vanishes. Recalling (3.8), we then see that $p \in \mathrm{Sing}(f)$ if and only if $g_{1\dots 1}^1(p) = \dots = g_{1\dots 1}^n(p) = 0$ for any adapted chart, and thus both the strictly fixed points of [A2] and the singular points of [BT], [Br] are singular in our case as well.

As we shall see later on, our index theorems will need a section of $TS \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$; so it will be natural to assume f tangential. When f is not tangential but S splits in M we can work too.

Let $O \rightarrow TS \xrightarrow{\iota} TM|_S \xrightarrow{\pi} N_S \rightarrow O$ be the usual extension. Then we can associate to any splitting morphism $\sigma: N_S \rightarrow TM|_S$ a morphism $\sigma': TM|_S \rightarrow TS$ such that $\sigma' \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_{TS}$, by $\sigma' = \iota^{-1} \circ (\sigma \circ \pi - \mathrm{id}_{TM|_S})$. Conversely, if there is a morphism $\sigma': TM|_S \rightarrow TS$ such that $\sigma' \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_{TS}$, we get a splitting morphism by setting $\sigma = (\pi|_{\mathrm{Ker} \sigma'})^{-1}$. Then

Definition 3.4. Let $f \in \mathrm{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \mathrm{id}_M$, and assume that S splits in M . Choose a splitting morphism $\sigma: N_S \rightarrow TM|_S$ and let $\sigma': TM|_S \rightarrow TS$

be the induced morphism. We set

$$H_{\sigma,f} = (\sigma' \otimes \text{id}) \circ X_f \in H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}).$$

Since the differential of f induces a morphism from N_S into itself, we have a dual morphism $(df)^*: N_S^* \rightarrow N_S^*$. Then if $\nu_f = 1$ we also set

$$H_{\sigma,f}^1 = (\text{id} \otimes (df)^*) \circ H_{\sigma,f} \in H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S \otimes N_S^*).$$

Remark 3.6. We defined $H_{\sigma,f}^1$ only for $\nu_f = 1$ because when $\nu_f > 1$ one has $(df)^* = \text{id}$. On the other hand, when $\nu_f = 1$ one has $(df)^* = \text{id}$ if and only if f is tangential. Finally, we have $X_f \equiv H_{\sigma,f}$ if and only if f is tangential, and $H_{\sigma,f} \equiv O$ if and only if $\Xi_f \subseteq \text{Im } \sigma = \text{Ker } \sigma'$.

Finally, if (U, z) is a chart in an atlas adapted to the splitting σ , locally we have

$$H_{\sigma,f} = g_{s_1 \dots s_{\nu_f}}^p |_S \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes \omega^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{s_{\nu_f}},$$

and, if $\nu_f = 1$,

$$H_{\sigma,f}^1 = (\delta_r^s + g_r^s) g_s^p |_S \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes \omega^r.$$

4. Local extensions

As we have already remarked, while X_f is well-defined, its extension $\mathcal{X}_f$ in general is not. However, we shall now derive formulas showing how to control the ambiguities in the definition of $\mathcal{X}_f$, at least in the cases that interest us most.

In this section we assume $m = 1$, i.e., that S has codimension one in M . To simplify notation we shall write g^j for $g_{1 \dots 1}^j$ and a for a_1^1 . We shall also use the following notation:

- T_1 will denote any sum of terms of the form $g \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes dz^{h_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dz^{h_{\nu_f}}$ with $g \in \mathcal{I}_S$;
- R_k will denote any local section with coefficients in $\mathcal{I}_S^k$.

For instance, if (U, z) and $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ are two charts adapted to S ,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}^h} \otimes (d\hat{z}^1)^{\otimes \nu_f} &= a^{\nu_f} \frac{\partial z^k}{\partial \hat{z}^h} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \otimes (dz^1)^{\otimes \nu_f} \\ &+ \frac{\partial z^1}{\partial \hat{z}^h} a^{\nu_f-1} z^1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu_f} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^{j_\ell}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes dz^1 \otimes \dots \\ &\dots \otimes dz^{j_\ell} \otimes \dots \otimes dz^1 + T_1 + R_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_1 = \frac{\partial z^p}{\partial \hat{z}^h} a^{\nu_f-1} z^1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu_f} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^{j_\ell}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes dz^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes dz^{j_\ell} \otimes \cdots \otimes dz^1.$$

Assume now that f is tangential, and let (U, z) be a chart adapted to S . We know that $f^1 - z^1 \in \mathcal{I}_S^{\nu_f+1}$, and thus we can write

$$f^1 - z^1 = h^1(z^1)^{\nu_f+1},$$

where h^1 is uniquely determined. Now, if $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ is another chart adapted to S then

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\nu_f+1} \hat{h}^1(z^1)^{\nu_f+1} &= \hat{f}^1 - \hat{z}^1 = (a \circ f) f^1 - a z^1 \\ &= a(f^1 - z^1) + (a \circ f - a) z^1 + (a \circ f - a)(f^1 - z^1) \\ &= a(f^1 - z^1) + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} (f^p - z^p) z^1 + R_{\nu_f+2} \\ &= \left[ah^1 + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} g^p \right] (z^1)^{\nu_f+1} + R_{\nu_f+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4.2) \quad a^{\nu_f+1} \hat{h}^1 = ah^1 + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} g^p + R_1.$$

Since $g^1 = h^1 z^1$ we then get

$$(4.3) \quad a^{\nu_f} \hat{g}^1 = ag^1 + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} g^p z^1 + R_2,$$

which generalizes (3.6) when f is tangential and $m = 1$.

Putting (4.3), (3.6) and (4.1) into (3.2) we then get

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$. Assume that f is tangential, and that S has codimension 1. Let $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ and (U, z) be two charts about $p \in S$ adapted to S , and let $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_f, \mathcal{X}_f$ be given by (3.2) in the respective coordinates. Then*

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}}_f = \mathcal{X}_f + T_1 + R_2.$$

When S is comfortably embedded in M and of codimension one we shall also need nice local extensions of $H_{\sigma, f}$ and $H_{\sigma, f}^1$, and to know how they behave under change of (comfortable) coordinates.

Definition 4.1. Let S be comfortably embedded in M and of codimension 1, and take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$. Let (U, z) be a chart in a comfortable atlas, and set $b^1(z) = g^1(O, z'')$; notice that f is tangential if and only if $b^1 \equiv O$. Write $g^1 = b^1 + h^1 z^1$ for a well-defined holomorphic function h^1 ; then set

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, f} = h^1 z^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes (dz^1)^{\otimes \nu_f} + g^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes (dz^1)^{\otimes \nu_f},$$

and if $\nu_f = 1$ set

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f}^1 = h^1 z^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes dz^1 + g^p (1 + b^1) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes dz^1.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f}^1$) restricted to S yields $H_{\sigma,f}$ (respectively, $H_{\sigma,f}^1$).

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. Assume that S is comfortably embedded in M , and of codimension one. Fix a comfortable atlas $\mathfrak{U}$, and let (U, z) , $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ be two charts in $\mathfrak{U}$ about $p \in S$. Then if $\nu_f = 1$,*

$$(4.6) \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\sigma,f}^1 = \mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f}^1 + T_1 + R_2,$$

while if $\nu_f > 1$,

$$(4.7) \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\sigma,f} = \mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f} + T_1 + R_2,$$

where $T_1 = T_1^o + T_1^1$ with

$$T_1^o = \frac{1}{a} g^q z^1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu_f} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^{p_\ell}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^q} \otimes dz^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes dz^{p_\ell} \otimes \cdots \otimes dz^1,$$

$$T_1^1 = -ag^1 \frac{\partial z^q}{\partial \hat{z}^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^q} \otimes (dz^1)^{\otimes \nu_f}.$$

Proof. First of all, from (3.7), $a^{\nu_f} \hat{b}^1 = ab^1 \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S}$. But since we are using a comfortable atlas we get

$$\frac{\partial(a^{\nu_f} \hat{b}^1 - ab^1)}{\partial z^1} = (\nu_f a^{\nu_f-1} \hat{b}^1 - b^1) \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^1} + R_1 = R_1,$$

and thus

$$(4.8) \quad a^{\nu_f} \hat{b}^1 = ab^1 \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S^2}.$$

If $\nu_f > 1$ then by (3.7) and (4.8),

$$a^{\nu_f} \hat{h}^1 \hat{z}^1 = (ah^1 + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} g^p) z^1 \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S^2},$$

which implies

$$(4.9) \quad a^{\nu_f+1} \hat{h}^1 = ah^1 + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} g^p \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S}.$$

If $\nu_f = 1$, using (2.4) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}^1 \hat{z}^1 + \hat{h}^1 (\hat{z}^1)^2 &= \hat{f}^1 - \hat{z}^1 \\ &= \frac{\partial \hat{z}^1}{\partial z^j} (f^j - z^j) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{z}^1}{\partial z^h \partial z^k} (f^h - z^h)(f^k - z^k) + R_3 \\ &= ab^1 z^1 + \left[ah^1 + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} g^p (1 + b^1) \right] (z^1)^2 + R_3, \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.8),

$$(4.10) \quad a^2 \hat{h}^1 = ah^1 + \frac{\partial a}{\partial z^p} g^p (1 + b^1) \pmod{\mathcal{I}_S}.$$

So if we compute $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\sigma,f}$ for $\nu_f > 1$ (respectively, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\sigma,f}^1$ for $\nu_f = 1$) using (3.7), (4.1) and (4.9) (respectively, (3.7), (4.1), (4.8) and (4.10)), we get the assertions. $\square$

5. Holomorphic actions

The index theorems to be discussed depend on actions of vector bundles. This concept was introduced by Baum and Bott in [BB], and later generalized in [CL], [LS], [LS2] and [Su]. Let us recall here the relevant definitions.

Let S again be a submanifold of codimension m in an n -dimensional complex manifold M , and let $\pi_F: F \rightarrow S$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on S . We shall denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of F , by $\mathcal{T}_S$ the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of TS , and by Ω_S^1 (respectively, Ω_M^1) the sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms on S (respectively, on M).

A section X of $\mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{F}^*$ (or, equivalently, a holomorphic section of $TS \otimes F^*$) can be interpreted as a morphism $X: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S$. Therefore it induces a derivation $X^\#: \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$ by setting

$$(5.1) \quad X^\#(g)(u) = X(u)(g)$$

for any $p \in S$, $g \in \mathcal{O}_{S,p}$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}_p$. If $\{f_1^*, \dots, f_k^*\}$ is a local frame for F^* about p , and X is locally given by $X = \sum_j v_j \otimes f_j^*$, then

$$(5.2) \quad X^\#(g) = \sum_j v_j(g) f_j^*.$$

Notice that if $X^*: \Omega_S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$ denotes the dual morphism of $X: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S$, by definition we have

$$X^*(\omega)(u) = \omega(X(u))$$

for any $p \in S$, $\omega \in (\Omega_S^1)_p$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}_p$, and so

$$X^\#(g) = X^*(dg).$$

Definition 5.1. Let $\pi_E: E \rightarrow S$ be another holomorphic vector bundle on S , and denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of E . Let X be a section of $\mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{F}^*$. A *holomorphic action of F on E along X* (or an *X -connection on E*) is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map $\tilde{X}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{X}(gs) = X^\#(g) \otimes s + g\tilde{X}(s)$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{O}_S$ and $s \in \mathcal{E}$.

Example 5.1. If $F = TS$, and the section X is the identity $\text{id}: TS \rightarrow TS$, then $X^\#(g) = dg$, and a holomorphic action of TS on E along X is just a $(1,0)$ -connection on E .

Definition 5.2. A point $p \in S$ is a *singularity* of a holomorphic section X of $\mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{F}^*$ if the induced map $X_p: F_p \rightarrow T_p S$ is not injective. The set of singular points of X will be denoted by $\text{Sing}(X)$, and we shall set $S^0 = S \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$ and $\Xi_X = X(F|_{S^0}) \subseteq TS^0$. Notice that Ξ_X is a holomorphic subbundle of TS^0 .

The canonical section previously introduced suggests the following definition:

Definition 5.3. A *Camacho-Sad action* on S is a holomorphic action of $N_S^{\otimes \nu}$ on N_S along a section X of $\mathcal{T}_S \otimes (N_S^{\otimes \nu})^*$, for a suitable $\nu \geq 1$.

Remark 5.1. The rationale behind the name is the following: as we shall see, the index theorem in [A2] is induced by a holomorphic action of $N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ on N_S along X_f when f is tangential, and this index theorem was inspired by the Camacho-Sad index theorem [CS].

Let us describe a way to get Camacho-Sad actions. Let $\pi: TM|_S \rightarrow N_S$ be the canonical projection; we shall use the same symbol for all other projections naturally induced by it. Let X be any global section of $TS \otimes (N_S^{\otimes \nu})^*$. Then we might try to define an action $\tilde{X}: \mathcal{N}_S \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu})^* \otimes \mathcal{N}_S = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu}, \mathcal{N}_S)$ by setting

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{X}(s)(u) = \pi([\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S)$$

for any $s \in \mathcal{N}_S$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu}$, where: $\tilde{s}$ is any element in $\mathcal{T}_M|_S$ such that $\pi(\tilde{s}|_S) = s$; $\tilde{u}$ is any element in $\mathcal{T}_M|_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{u}|_S) = u$; and $\mathcal{X}$ is a *suitably chosen* local section of $\mathcal{T}_M \otimes (\Omega_M^1)^{\otimes \nu}$ that restricted to S induces X .

Surprisingly enough, we can make this definition work in the cases interesting to us:

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that S has codimension one in M and that*

- (a) *f is tangential to S , or that*
- (b) *S is comfortably embedded into M .*

Then we can use (5.4) to define a Camacho-Sad action on S along X_f in case (a), along $H_{\sigma, f}$ in case (b) when $\nu_f > 1$, and along $H_{\sigma, f}^1$ in case (b) when $\nu_f = 1$.

Proof. We shall denote by X the section X_f , $H_{\sigma, f}$ or $H_{\sigma, f}^1$ depending on the case we are considering. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be an atlas adapted to S , comfortable and adapted to the splitting morphism σ in case (b), and let $\mathcal{X}$ be the local

extension of X defined in a chart belonging to $\mathfrak{U}$ by Definition 3.1 (respectively, Definition 4.1). We first prove that the right-hand side of (5.4) does not depend on the chart chosen. Take (U, z) , $(\tilde{U}, \hat{z}) \in \mathfrak{U}$ to be local charts about $p \in S$. Using Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we get

$$[\hat{\mathcal{X}}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}] = [(\mathcal{X} + T_1 + R_2)(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}] = [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}) + T_1 + R_2, \tilde{s}] = [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}] + T_0 + R_1,$$

where T_0 represents a local section of TM that restricted to S is tangent to it. Thus

$$\pi([\hat{\mathcal{X}}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S) = \pi([\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S),$$

as desired.

We must now show that the right-hand side of (5.4) does not depend on the extensions of s and u chosen. If $\tilde{s}'$ and $\tilde{u}'$ are other extensions of s and u respectively, we have $(\tilde{s}' - \tilde{s})|_S = T_0$, while $(\tilde{u}' - \tilde{u})|_S$ is a sum of terms of the form $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\nu_f}$ with at least one V_ℓ tangent to S . Therefore $\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}' - \tilde{u})|_S = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}'), \tilde{s}']|_S &= [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S + [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}' - \tilde{s}]|_S + [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}' - \tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S \\ &\quad + [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}' - \tilde{u}), \tilde{s}' - \tilde{s}]|_S = [\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S + T_0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\pi([\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}'), \tilde{s}']|_S) = \pi([\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S)$, as wanted.

We are left to show that $\tilde{X}$ is actually an action. Take $g \in \mathcal{O}_S$, and let $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_M|_S$ be any extension. First of all,

$$\tilde{X}(s)(gu) = \pi([\mathcal{X}(\tilde{g}\tilde{u}), \tilde{s}]|_S) = g\tilde{X}(s)(u) - \tilde{s}(\tilde{g})|_S \pi(X(u)) = g\tilde{X}(s)(u),$$

and so $\tilde{X}(s)$ is a morphism. Finally, (5.1) yields

$$\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u})(\tilde{g})|_S = X^\#(g)(u),$$

and so

$$\tilde{X}(gs)(u) = \pi([\mathcal{X}(\tilde{u}), \tilde{g}\tilde{s}]|_S) = g\tilde{X}(s)(u) + \mathcal{X}(\tilde{u})(\tilde{g})|_S s = g\tilde{X}(s)(u) + X^\#(g)(u)s,$$

and we are done. $\square$

Remark 5.2. If $\nu_f = 1$ and f is not tangential then (5.4) with $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f}$ does not define an action. This is the reason why we introduced the new section $H_{\sigma,f}^1$ and its extension $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f}^1$.

Later it will be useful to have an expression of $\tilde{X}_f$, $\tilde{H}_{\sigma,f}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\sigma,f}^1$ in local coordinates. Let then (U, z) be a local chart belonging to a (comfortable, if necessary) atlas adapted to S , so that $\{\partial_1\}$ is a local frame for N_S , and $\{(\omega^1)^{\otimes \nu_f} \otimes \partial_1\}$ is a local frame for $(N_S^{\otimes \nu_f})^* \otimes N_S$. There is a holomorphic function M_f such that

$$\tilde{X}_f(\partial_1)(\partial_1^{\otimes \nu_f}) = M_f \partial_1.$$

Now, recalling (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}_f(\partial_1)(\partial_1^{\otimes \nu_f}) &= \pi \left(\left[\mathcal{X}_f \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \right)^{\otimes \nu_f} \right), \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \right] \Big|_S \right) \\ &= \pi \left(\left[g^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \right] \Big|_S \right) = - \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial z^1} \Big|_S \partial_1,\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(5.5) \quad M_f = - \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial z^1} \Big|_S.$$

In particular, recalling that f is tangential we can write $g^1 = z^1 h^1$, and hence (5.5) yields

$$(5.6) \quad M_f = -h^1|_S.$$

Similarly, if we write $\tilde{H}_{\sigma,f}(\partial_1)(\partial_1^{\otimes \nu_f}) = M_{\sigma,f} \partial_1$ and $\tilde{H}_{\sigma,f}^1(\partial_1)(\partial_1) = M_{\sigma,f}^1 \partial_1$, we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad M_{\sigma,f} = M_{\sigma,f}^1 = -h^1|_S,$$

where h^1 is defined by $f^1 - z^1 = b^1(z^1)^{\nu_f} + h^1(z^1)^{\nu_f+1}$.

Following ideas originally due to Baum and Bott (see [BB]), we can also introduce a holomorphic action on the virtual bundle $TS - N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$. But let us first define what we mean by a holomorphic action on such a bundle.

Definition 5.4. Let S^0 be an open dense subset of a complex manifold S , F a vector bundle on S , $X \in H^0(S, \mathcal{T}_S \otimes \mathcal{F}^*)$, W a vector bundle over S^0 and $\tilde{W}$ any extension of W over S in K -theory. Then we say that F *acts holomorphically on $\tilde{W}$ along X* if $F|_{S^0}$ acts holomorphically on W along $X|_{S^0}$.

Let S be a codimension-one submanifold of M and take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$, as usual. If f is tangential set $X = X_f$. If not, assume that S is comfortably embedded in M and set $X = H_{\sigma,f}$ or $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$ according to the value of ν_f ; in this case, we shall also assume that $X \not\equiv O$. Set $S^0 = S \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$, and let $\mathcal{Q}_f = \mathcal{T}_S/X(\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu_f})$. The sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_f$ is a coherent analytic sheaf which is locally free over S^0 . The associated vector bundle (over S^0) is denoted by Q_f and it is called the *normal bundle of f* . Then the virtual bundle $TS - N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$, represented by the sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_f$, is an extension (in the sense of K -theory) of Q_f .

Definition 5.5. A *Baum-Bott action* on S is a holomorphic action of $N_S^{\otimes \nu}$ on the virtual bundle $TS - N_S^{\otimes \nu}$ along a section X of $\mathcal{T}_S \otimes N_S^{\otimes \nu}$, for a suitable $\nu \geq 1$.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that S has codimension one in M , and that either f is tangential to S (and then set $X = X_f$) or S is comfortably embedded into M (and then set $X = H_{\sigma, f}$ or $X = H_{\sigma, f}^1$ according to the value of ν_f). Assume moreover that $X \neq 0$. Then there exists a Baum-Bott action $\tilde{B}: \mathcal{Q}_f \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu_f})^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}_f$ of $N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ on $TS - N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ along X defined by*

$$(5.8) \quad \tilde{B}(s)(u) = \pi_f([X(u), \tilde{s}])$$

where $\pi_f: \mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_f$ is the natural projection, and $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{T}_S$ is any section such that $\pi_f(\tilde{s}) = s$.

Proof. If $\hat{s} \in \mathcal{T}_S$ is another section such that $\pi_f(\hat{s}) = s$ we have $\hat{s} - \tilde{s} \in X(\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu_f})$; hence $\pi_f([X(u), \hat{s} - \tilde{s}]) = 0$, and (5.8) does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{s}$. Finally, one can easily check that $\tilde{B}$ is a holomorphic action on S^0 . $\square$

Remark 5.3. Since S has codimension one, $X: N_S^{\otimes \nu_f} \rightarrow TS$ yields a (possibly singular) holomorphic foliation on S , and the previous action coincides with the one used in [BB] for the case of foliations.

We can also define a third holomorphic action, on the virtual bundle $TM|_S - N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$. Assume that f is tangential, and let $S^0 = S \setminus \text{Sing}(X_f)$, as before. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{W}_f = \mathcal{T}_{M, S}/X_f(\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu_f})$ is a coherent analytic sheaf, locally free over S^0 ; let $W_f = TM|_{S^0}/X_f(N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}|_{S^0})$ be the associated vector bundle over S^0 . Then the virtual bundle $TM|_S - N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$, represented by the sheaf $\mathcal{W}_f$, is an extension (in the sense of K -theory) of W_f .

Definition 5.6. A *Lehmann-Suwa action* on S is a holomorphic action of $N_S^{\otimes \nu}$ on $TM|_S - N_S^{\otimes \nu}$ along a section X of $\mathcal{T}_S \otimes N_S^{\otimes \nu}$, for a suitable $\nu \geq 1$.

Again, the name is chosen to honor the ones who first discovered the analogous action for holomorphic foliations in any dimension; see [LS], [LS2] (and [KS] for dimension two).

To present an example of such an action we first need a definition.

Definition 5.7. Let S be a codimension-one, comfortably embedded submanifold of M , and choose a comfortable atlas $\mathfrak{U}$ adapted to a splitting morphism $\sigma: N_S \rightarrow TM|_S$. If $v \in (\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu})_p$ and $(U, \varphi) \in \mathfrak{U}$ is a chart about $p \in S$, we can write $v = \lambda(z'')\partial_1^{\otimes \nu}$ for a suitable $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap S)$. Then the *local extension of v along the fibers of σ* is the local section $\tilde{v} = \lambda(z'')(\partial/\partial z^1)^{\otimes \nu} \in (\mathcal{T}_M|_S^{\otimes \nu})_p$.

If $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ is another chart in $\mathfrak{U}$ about p , and $v \in (\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu})_p$, we can also write $v = \hat{\lambda}\hat{\partial}_1^{\otimes \nu}$, and we clearly have $\hat{\lambda} = (a|_S)^{\nu}\lambda$. But since S is comfortably

embedded in M we also have

$$\left. \frac{\partial(\hat{\lambda} - a^\nu \lambda)}{\partial z^1} \right|_S \equiv 0,$$

and thus

$$a^\nu \lambda = \hat{\lambda} + R_2.$$

Therefore if $\hat{v}$ denotes the local extension of v along the fibers of σ in the chart $(\hat{U}, \hat{\varphi})$ we have

$$(5.9) \quad \hat{v} = \hat{\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}^1} \right)^{\otimes \nu} = a^\nu \lambda \frac{\partial z^{h_1}}{\partial \hat{z}^1} \cdots \frac{\partial z^{h_\nu}}{\partial \hat{z}^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{h_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{h_\nu}} + R_2 = \tilde{v} + T_1 + R_2,$$

where

$$T_1 = a\lambda \sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu} \frac{\partial z^{p_\ell}}{\partial \hat{z}^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{p_\ell}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}.$$

Hence:

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that S is of codimension one and comfortably embedded in M , and that f is tangential with $\nu_f > 1$. Let $\rho_f: \mathcal{T}_{M,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_f$ be the natural projection. Then a Lehmann-Suwa action $\tilde{V}: \mathcal{W}_f \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu_f})^* \otimes \mathcal{W}_f$ of $N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ on $TM|_S - N_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ may be defined along X_f by setting*

$$(5.10) \quad \tilde{V}(s)(v) = \rho_f([\mathcal{X}_f(\tilde{v}), \tilde{s}]|_S),$$

for $s \in \mathcal{W}_f$ and $v \in \mathcal{N}_S^{\otimes \nu}$, where $\tilde{s}$ is any element in $\mathcal{T}_M|_S$ such that $\rho_f(\tilde{s}|_S) = s$, and $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{T}_M|_S^{\otimes \nu_f}$ is an extension of v constant along the fibers of a splitting morphism σ .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{X}_f(\tilde{v})|_S \in \mathcal{T}_S$ then clearly (5.10) does not depend on the extension $\tilde{s}$ chosen. Using (5.9) and (4.7), since f tangential implies $\mathcal{X}_f = \mathcal{H}_{\sigma,f}$ and $T_1^1 = R_2$, we have

$$[\hat{\mathcal{X}}_f(\hat{v}), \tilde{s}] = [(\mathcal{X}_f + T_1^o + R_2)(\tilde{v} + T_1 + R_2), \tilde{s}] = [\mathcal{X}_f(\tilde{v}), \tilde{s}] + R_1,$$

and therefore (5.10) does not depend on the comfortable coordinates chosen to define it. Finally, arguing as in Theorem 5.1 we can show that $\tilde{V}$ actually is a holomorphic action, and we are done. $\square$

6. Index theorems for hypersurfaces

Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, possibly singular hypersurface in a complex manifold M , and set $S' = S \setminus \text{Sing}(S)$. Given the following data:

- (a) a line bundle F over S' ;

- (b) a holomorphic section X of $TS' \otimes F^*$;
- (c) a vector bundle E defined on M ;
- (d) a holomorphic action $\tilde{X}$ of $F|_{S'}$ on $E|_{S'}$ along X ;

we can recover a partial connection (in the sense of Bott) on E restricted to $S^0 = S' \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$ as follows: since, by definition of S^0 , the dual map $X^*: \Xi_X^* \rightarrow F^*|_{S^0}$ is an isomorphism, we can define a partial connection (in the sense of Bott [Bo]) $D: \Xi_X \times H^0(S^0, E|_{S^0}) \rightarrow H^0(S^0, E|_{S^0})$ by setting

$$D_v(s) = (X^* \otimes \text{id})^{-1}(\tilde{X}(s))(v)$$

for $p \in S^0$, $v \in (\Xi_X)_p$ and $s \in H^0(S^0, E|_{S^0})$. Furthermore, we can always extend this partial connection D to a $(1, 0)$ -connection on $E|_{S^0}$, for instance by using a partition of unity (see, e.g., [BB]). Any such connection (which is a Ξ_X -connection in the terminology of [Bo], [Su]) will be said to be *induced* by the holomorphic action $\tilde{X}$.

We can then apply the general theory developed by Lehmann and Suwa for foliations (see in particular Theorem 1' and Proposition 4 of [LS], as well as [Su, Th. VI.4.8]) to get the following:

THEOREM 6.1. *Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, possibly singular hypersurface in an n -dimensional complex manifold M , and set $S' = S \setminus \text{Sing}(S)$. Let F be a line bundle over S' admitting an extension to M , and X a holomorphic section of $TS' \otimes F^*$. Set $S^0 = S' \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$, and let $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X) = \bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition of $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X)$ in connected components. Finally, let E be a vector bundle defined on M . Then for any holomorphic action $\tilde{X}$ of $F|_{S'}$ on $E|_{S'}$ along X and any homogeneous symmetric polynomial φ of degree $n-1$, there are complex numbers $\text{Res}_\varphi(\tilde{X}, E, \Sigma_\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the local behavior of $\tilde{X}$ and E near Σ_λ , such that*

$$\sum_\lambda \text{Res}_\varphi(\tilde{X}, E, \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_S \varphi(E),$$

where $\varphi(E)$ is the evaluation of φ on the Chern classes of E .

Recalling the results of the previous section, we then get the following index theorem for holomorphic self-maps:

THEOREM 6.2. *Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, possibly singular hypersurface in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that*

- (a) f is tangential to S , and $X = X_f$, or that
- (b) $S^0 = S \setminus (\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(f))$ is comfortably embedded into M , and $X = H_{\sigma, f}$ if $\nu_f > 1$, or $X = H_{\sigma, f}^1$ if $\nu_f = 1$.

Assume moreover $X \not\equiv O$. Let $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X) = \bigcup_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\lambda}$ be the decomposition of $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X)$ in connected components. Finally, let $[S]$ be the line bundle on M associated to the divisor S . Then there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}(X, S, \Sigma_{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the local behavior of X and $[S]$ near Σ_{λ} , such that

$$\sum_{\lambda} \text{Res}(X, S, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_S c_1^{n-1}([S]).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 we have a Camacho-Sad action on S along X on N_{S^0} . Since $[S]$ is an extension to M of N_{S^0} , we can apply Theorem 6.1. $\square$

Remark 6.1. If M has dimension two, and S has at least one singularity or X_f has at least one zero, then $S' \setminus \text{Sing}(f)$ is *always* comfortably embedded in M . Indeed, it is an open Riemann surface; so $H^1(S' \setminus \text{Sing}(f), \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any coherent analytic sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, and the result follows from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

In a similar way, applying [Su, Th. IV.5.6], Theorem 5.3, and recalling that $\varphi(H - L) = \varphi(H \otimes L^*)$ for any vector bundle H , line bundle L and homogeneous symmetric polynomial φ , we get

THEOREM 6.3. *Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, possibly singular hypersurface in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that $S' = S \setminus \text{Sing}(S)$ is comfortably embedded into M , and that f is tangential to S with $\nu_f > 1$. Let $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X_f) = \bigcup_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\lambda}$ be the decomposition of $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X_f)$ in connected components. Finally, let $[S]$ be the line bundle on M associated to the divisor S . Then for any homogeneous symmetric polynomial φ of degree $n - 1$ there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}_{\varphi}(X_f, TM|_S - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \Sigma_{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the local behavior of X_f and $TM|_S - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}$ near Σ_{λ} , such that*

$$\sum_{\lambda} \text{Res}_{\varphi}(X_f, TM|_S - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_S \varphi(TM|_S \otimes ([S]^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}).$$

Finally, applying the Baum-Bott index theorem (see [Su, Th. III.7.6]) and Theorem 5.2 we get

THEOREM 6.4. *Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, smooth complex hypersurface in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that*

- (a) *f is tangential to S , and $X = X_f$, or that*
- (b) *$S^0 = S \setminus \text{Sing}(f)$ is comfortably embedded into M , and $X = H_{\sigma, f}$ if $\nu_f > 1$, or $X = H_{\sigma, f}^1$ if $\nu_f = 1$.*

Assume moreover $X \not\equiv O$. Let $\text{Sing}(X) = \bigcup_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\lambda}$ be the decomposition of $\text{Sing}(X)$ in connected components. Finally, let $[S]$ be the line bundle on M associated to the divisor S . Then for any homogeneous symmetric polynomial φ of degree $n - 1$ there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}_{\varphi}(X, TS - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \Sigma_{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the local behavior of X and $TS - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}$ near Σ_{λ} , such that

$$\sum_{\lambda} \text{Res}_{\varphi}(X, TS - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_S \varphi(TS \otimes ([S]^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}).$$

Thus, we have recovered three main index theorems of foliation theory in the setting of holomorphic self-maps fixing pointwise a hypersurface.

Clearly, these index theorems are as useful as the formulas for the computation of the residues are explicit; the rest of this section is devoted to deriving such formulas in many important cases.

Let us first describe the general way these residues are defined in Lehmann-Suwa theory. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 6.1. Let $\tilde{U}_0$ be a tubular neighborhood of S^0 in M , and denote by $\rho: \tilde{U}_0 \rightarrow S^0$ the associated retraction. Given any connection D on $E|_{S^0}$ induced by the holomorphic action $\tilde{X}$ of F along X , set $D^0 = \rho^*(D)$. Next, choose an open set $\tilde{U}_{\lambda} \subset M$ such that $\tilde{U}_{\lambda} \cap (\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X)) = \Sigma_{\lambda}$, and a compact real $2n$ -dimensional manifold $\tilde{R}_{\lambda} \subset \tilde{U}_{\lambda}$ with C^{∞} boundary containing Σ_{λ} in its interior and such that $\partial \tilde{R}_{\lambda}$ intersects S transversally. Let D^{λ} be any connection on $E|_{\tilde{U}_{\lambda}}$, and denote by $B(\varphi(D^0), \varphi(D^{\lambda}))$ the Bott difference form of $\varphi(D^0)$ and $\varphi(D^{\lambda})$ on $\tilde{U}_0 \cap \tilde{U}_{\lambda}$. Then (see [LS] and [Su, Chap. IV])

$$(6.1) \quad \text{Res}_{\varphi}(\tilde{X}, E, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_{R_{\lambda}} \varphi(D^{\lambda}) - \int_{\partial R_{\lambda}} B(\varphi(D^0), \varphi(D^{\lambda})),$$

where $R_{\lambda} = \tilde{R}_{\lambda} \cap S$. A similar formula holds for virtual vector bundles too; see again [Su, Chap. IV].

Remark 6.2. When $\Sigma_{\lambda} = \{x_{\lambda}\}$ is an isolated singularity of S , the second integral in (6.1) is taken on the link of x_{λ} in S . In particular if S is not irreducible at x_k then the residue is the sum of several terms, one for each irreducible component of S at x_k .

We now specialize (6.1) to our situation. Let us begin with the Camacho-Sad action: we shall compute the residues for connected components Σ_{λ} reduced to an isolated point x_{λ} . Let again $[S]$ be the line bundle associated to the divisor S , and choose an open set $\tilde{U}_{\lambda} \subset M$ containing x_{λ} so that $\tilde{U}_{\lambda} \cap (\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X)) = \{x_{\lambda}\}$ and $[S]$ is trivial on $\tilde{U}_{\lambda}$; take as D^{λ} the trivial connection for $[S]$ on W with respect to some frame. In particular, then, $\varphi(D^{\lambda}) = O$ on R_{λ} . By (6.1) the residue is then obtained simply by integrating

$B(\varphi(D^0), \varphi(D^\lambda))$ over ∂R_λ . Notice furthermore that since $[S]$ is a line bundle there is only one nontrivial φ to consider: the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ power of the linear symmetric function, so that $\varphi(D) = c_1^{n-1}([S])$.

Let η^j be a connection one-form of D^j , for $j = 0, \lambda$; with respect to a suitable frame for $[S]$ we can assume that $\eta^\lambda \equiv 0$. Let

$$\tilde{\eta} := t\eta^0 + (1-t)\eta^\lambda = t\eta^0,$$

and let $\tilde{K} := d\tilde{\eta} + \tilde{\eta} \wedge \tilde{\eta} = d\tilde{\eta}$. From the very definition of the Bott difference form, it follows that

$$B(\varphi(D^0), \varphi(D^\lambda)) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{n-1} \int_0^1 \tilde{K}^{n-1}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\tilde{K}^{n-1} = (n-1)t^{n-2}dt \wedge \eta^0 \wedge \overbrace{d\eta^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\eta^0}^{n-2} + N,$$

where N is a term not containing dt . Therefore

$$(6.2) \quad B(\varphi(D^0), \varphi(D^\lambda)) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{n-1} \eta^0 \wedge \overbrace{d\eta^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\eta^0}^{n-2}.$$

Assume now that $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(X)$ and S is smooth at x_λ . Up to shrinking $\tilde{U}_\lambda$ we may assume that $\tilde{U}_\lambda$ is the domain of a chart z adapted to S (and belonging to a comfortable atlas if necessary), so that $\{\partial_1\}$ is a local frame for N_{S^0} , and $\{dz^2, \dots, dz^n\}$ is a local frame for T^*S^0 . Then any connection D induced by the Camacho-Sad action is locally represented by the $(1,0)$ -form η^0 such that $D(\partial_1) = \eta^0 \otimes \partial_1$. To compute η^0 , we first of all notice that $X = g^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes (\omega^1)^{\otimes \nu_f}$, if $X = X_f$ or $X = H_{\sigma,f}$, and $X = (1+b^1)g^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} \otimes \omega^1$ if $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$. Then, when X is X_f or $H_{\sigma,f}$,

$$(X^*)^{-1}((\omega^1)^{\otimes \nu_f}) = \frac{1}{g^p} dz^p,$$

while when $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$,

$$(X^*)^{-1}((\omega^1)^{\otimes \nu_f}) = \frac{1}{(1+b^1)g^p} dz^p.$$

Therefore, recalling formulas (5.6) and (5.7), we can choose D so that when X is X_f or $H_{\sigma,f}$,

$$(6.3) \quad \eta^0 = (X^* \otimes \text{id})^{-1}(\tilde{X}(\partial_1)) = - \frac{h^1}{g^p} \Big|_S dz^p,$$

while when $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$,

$$(6.4) \quad \eta^0 = (X^* \otimes \text{id})^{-1}(\tilde{H}_{\sigma,f}^1(\partial_1)) = - \frac{h^1}{(1+b^1)g^p} \Big|_S dz^p.$$

Remark 6.3. When $n = 2$ and $X = X_f$ we recover the connection form obtained in [Br]. The form η introduced in [A2], which is the opposite of η^0 , is the connection form of the dual connection on $N_{S^0}^*$, by [A2, (1.7)]. Since the definition of Chern class implicitly used in [A2] is the opposite of the one used in [Br] everything is coherent. Finally, when $n = 2$ and $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$ we have obtained the correct multiple of the form η introduced in [A2] when S was the smooth zero section of a line bundle (notice that $1 + b^1$ is constant because S is compact, and that the form η of [A2] must be divided by $b = 1 + b^1$ to get a connection form).

Now we can take $R_1 = \{|g^p(x)| \leq \varepsilon \mid p = 2, \dots, n\}$ for a suitable $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. In particular, if we set $\Gamma = \{|g^p(x)| = \varepsilon \mid p = 2, \dots, n\} \cap S$, oriented so that $d\theta^2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\theta^n > 0$ where $\theta^p = \arg(g^p)$, then arguing as in [L, §5] or [LS, §4] (see also [Su, pp.105–107]) we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \text{Res}(X, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(h^1)^{n-1}}{g^2 \dots g^n} dz^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n,$$

when $X = X_f$ or $H_{\sigma,f}$, while when $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$ we have

$$(6.6) \quad \text{Res}(H_{\sigma,f}^1, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(h^1)^{n-1}}{(1 + b^1)^{n-1} g^2 \dots g^n} dz^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n.$$

Remark 6.4. For $n = 2$, formulas (6.5) and (6.6) give the indices defined in [A2]. Thus, if S is smooth, Theorem 6.2 implies the index theorem of [A2], because $c_1([S]) = c_1(N_S)$. In an analogous way, Lehmann and Suwa (see [L], [LS], [LS2]) proved that the Camacho-Sad index theorem also is a consequence of Theorem 6.1.

When x_λ is an isolated singular point of S the computation of the residue is more complicated, because one cannot apply directly the results in [LS] as before, for in general there is no natural extension of Ξ_X and the Camacho-Sad action to $\text{Sing}(S)$. However we are able to compute explicitly the index in this case too when $n = 2$, and when $n > 2$ and f is tangential with $\nu_f > 1$.

If $n = 2$ we can choose local coordinates $\{(w^1, w^2)\}$ in $\tilde{U}_\lambda$ so that $S \cap \tilde{U}_\lambda = \{l(w^1, w^2) = 0\}$ for some holomorphic function l , and $dl \wedge dw^2 \neq 0$ on $S \cap \tilde{U}_\lambda \setminus \{x_\lambda\}$. In particular (l, w^2) are local coordinates adapted to S^0 near $S \cap \tilde{U}_\lambda \setminus \{x_\lambda\}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial l}$ can be chosen as a local frame for N_{S^0} on ∂R_1 .

Remark 6.5. When S^0 is comfortably embedded in M the chart (l, w^2) should belong to a comfortable atlas. Studying the proofs of Propositions 2.1 and of Theorem 2.2 one sees that this is possible up to replacing l by a function of the form $\hat{l} = (1 + c(w^2)l)l$, where c is a holomorphic function defined on $S \cap \tilde{U}_\lambda \setminus \{x_\lambda\}$. Since to compute the residues we only need the behavior of l and

w^2 near ∂R_1 , it is easy to check that using $\hat{l}$ or l in the following computations yields the same results. So for the sake of simplicity we shall not distinguish between l and $\hat{l}$ in the sequel.

Up to shrinking $\tilde{U}_\lambda$, we can again assume that $[S]$ is trivial on $\tilde{U}_\lambda$. The function l is a local generator of $\mathcal{I}_S$ on $\tilde{U}_\lambda$. Then the dual of $[l] \in \mathcal{I}_S/\mathcal{I}_S^2$, denoted by s , is a holomorphic frame of $[S]$ on $\tilde{U}_\lambda$ which extends the holomorphic frame $\frac{\partial}{\partial l}$ of $N_{S'}$ (see [Su, p.86]). In particular $s|_{\partial R_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial l}$. We then choose on $[S]|_{\tilde{U}_\lambda}$ the trivial connection with respect to s , so that $\eta^\lambda = O$. We are left with the computation of the form η^0 near ∂R^1 . But if $X = X_f$ or $X = H_{\sigma,f}$ we can apply (6.3) to get

$$\eta^0|_{\partial R_1} = - \frac{(l \circ f - l) - b^1 l^{\nu_f}}{l \cdot (w^2 \circ f - w^2)} \Big|_{\partial R_1} dw^2,$$

where

$$b^1 = \frac{l \circ f - l}{l^{\nu_f}} \Big|_S$$

is identically zero when f is tangential. On the other hand, when $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$, applying (6.4) we get

$$\eta^0|_{\partial R_1} = - \frac{(l \circ f - l) - b^1 l}{(l + (l \circ f - l))(w^2 \circ f - w^2)} \Big|_{\partial R_1} dw^2.$$

Hence the residue is

$$(6.7) \quad \text{Res}(X, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial R_1} \frac{(l \circ f - l) - b^1 l^{\nu_f}}{l \cdot (w^2 \circ f - w^2)} \Big|_S dw^2,$$

when $X = X_f$ or $X = H_{\sigma,f}$, while when $X = H_{\sigma,f}^1$,

$$(6.8) \quad \text{Res}(H_{\sigma,f}^1, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial R_1} \frac{(l \circ f - l) - b^1 l}{(l + (l \circ f - l))(w^2 \circ f - w^2)} \Big|_S dw^2.$$

Remark 6.6. When f is tangential we have $b^1 \equiv 0$; therefore the formula (6.7) gives the index defined in [BT], and Theorem 6.2 implies the index theorem of [BT].

When $n > 2$, f is tangential and $\nu_f > 1$, we can define a local vector field $\tilde{v}_f$ which generates the Camacho-Sad action $\tilde{X}_f$ and compute explicitly the residue even at a singular point x_λ of S . To see this, assume $(w^1, \dots, w^n)$ are local coordinates in $\tilde{U}_\lambda$ so that $S \cap \tilde{U}_\lambda = \{l(w^1, \dots, w^n) = 0\}$ for some holomorphic function l . Define the vector field $\tilde{v}_f$ on $\tilde{U}_\lambda$ by

$$(6.9) \quad \tilde{v}_f = \frac{w^1 \circ f - w^1}{l^{\nu_f}} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^1} + \dots + \frac{w^n \circ f - w^n}{l^{\nu_f}} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^n}.$$

We claim that the “holomorphic action” $\theta_{\tilde{v}_f}$ in the sense of Bott [Bo] of $\tilde{v}_f$ on $N_{S'}$ as defined in [LS, p.177] coincides with our Camacho-Sad action, and thus we can apply [LS, Th. 1] to compute the residue. To prove this we consider $W_1 = \{x \in \tilde{U}_\lambda | \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^1}(x) \neq 0\}$. On this open set we make the following change of coordinates:

$$\begin{cases} z^1 = l(w^1, \dots, w^n), \\ z^p = w^p \end{cases} \quad \text{for } p = 2, \dots, n.$$

The new coordinates $(z^1, \dots, z^n)$ are adapted to S on W_1 . If $f^j = z^j + g^j(z^1)^{\nu_f}$ as usual, we have

$$(6.10) \quad w^p \circ f - w^p = g^p(z^1)^{\nu_f},$$

and

$$(6.11) \quad w^1 \circ f - w^1 = \frac{\partial w^1}{\partial z^j} g^j(z^1)^{\nu_f} + R_{2\nu_f} = \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial w^1} \right)^{-1} \left[g^1 - \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^p} g^p \right] (z^1)^{\nu_f} + R_{2\nu_f}.$$

Therefore, from (6.10) and (6.11), taking into account that $\nu_f > 1$, we get

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_f = & \left(\frac{w^1 \circ f - w^1}{(z^1)^{\nu_f}} \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^1} + \frac{w^p \circ f - w^p}{(z^1)^{\nu_f}} \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^p} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \\ & + \frac{w^q \circ f - w^q}{(z^1)^{\nu_f}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^q} = \mathcal{X}_f(\partial_1^{\otimes \nu_f}) + R_2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the claim on W_1 . Since the same holds on each $W_j = \{x \in \tilde{U}_\lambda | \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^j}(x) \neq 0\}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $(\tilde{U}_\lambda \cap S) \setminus \{x_\lambda\} = \bigcup_j W_j$, it follows that the Bott holomorphic action induced by $\tilde{v}_f$ is the same as the Camacho-Sad action given by $\tilde{X}_f$. Thus, if we choose — as we can — the coordinates $(w^1, \dots, w^n)$ as in [LS, Th. 2], that is so that $\{l, (w^p \circ f - w^p)/l^{\nu_f}\}$ form a regular sequence at x_λ , the residue is expressed by the formula after [LS, Th. 2]. Taking into account that, since f is tangential and by (6.13), the function l divides $dl(\tilde{v}_f)$, we get

$$(6.13) \quad \text{Res}(X_f, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi i} \right)^{n-1} \int_\Gamma \frac{\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^j} (w^j \circ f - w^j) \right]^{n-1}}{l^{n-1} \prod_{p=2}^n (w^p \circ f - w^p)} dw^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dw^n,$$

where this time

$$\Gamma = \left\{ w \in \tilde{U}_\lambda \mid \left| \frac{w^p \circ f - w^p}{l^{\nu_f}}(w) \right| = \epsilon, l(w) = 0 \right\},$$

for a suitable $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, and Γ is oriented as usual (in particular $\Gamma = (-1)^{[\frac{n-1}{2}]} R_{u_0}$ where R_{u_0} is the set defined in [LS, Th. 2]).

Note that for $n = 2$ we recover, when $\nu_f > 1$, formula (6.7). On the other hand, if x_λ is nonsingular for S , then the previous argument with $l = w^1$ works for $\nu_f = 1$ as well, and we get formula (6.5).

Summing up, we have proved the following:

THEOREM 6.5. *Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, possibly singular hypersurface in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that*

- (a) *f is tangential to S , and $X = X_f$, or that*
- (b) *$S^0 = S \setminus (\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(f))$ is comfortably embedded into M , and $X = H_{\sigma, f}$ if $\nu_f > 1$, or $X = H_{\sigma, f}^1$ if $\nu_f = 1$.*

Assume $X \neq O$. Let $x_\lambda \in S$ be an isolated point of $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X)$. Then the number $\text{Res}(X, S, \{x_\lambda\}) \in \mathbb{C}$ introduced in Theorem 6.2 is given

- (i) *if $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(X) \cap (S \setminus \text{Sing}(S))$, and f is tangential or S^0 is comfortably embedded in M and $\nu_f > 1$, by*

$$\text{Res}(X, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi} \right)^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(h^1)^{n-1}}{g^2 \cdots g^n} dz^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n;$$

- (ii) *if $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(X) \cap (S \setminus \text{Sing}(S))$, S^0 is comfortably embedded in M and $\nu_f = 1$, by*

$$\text{Res}(H_{\sigma, f}^1, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi} \right)^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(h^1)^{n-1}}{(1+b^1)^{n-1} g^2 \cdots g^n} dz^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n;$$

- (iii) *if $n = 2$, $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(S)$, and f is tangential or S^0 is comfortably embedded in M and $\nu_f > 1$, by*

$$\text{Res}(X, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial R_1} \frac{(l \circ f - l) - b^1 l^{\nu_f}}{l \cdot (w^2 \circ f - w^2)} \Big|_S dw^2;$$

- (iv) *if $n = 2$, $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(S)$, S^0 is comfortably embedded in M and $\nu_f = 1$, by*

$$\text{Res}(H_{\sigma, f}^1, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial R_1} \frac{(l \circ f - l) - b^1 l}{(l + (l \circ f - l))(w^2 \circ f - w^2)} \Big|_S dw^2;$$

- (v) *if $n > 2$, $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(S)$, f is tangential and $\nu_f > 1$, by*

$$\text{Res}(X_f, S, \{x_\lambda\}) = \left(\frac{-i}{2\pi i} \right)^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^j} (w^j \circ f - w^j) \right]^{n-1}}{l^{n-1} \prod_{p=2}^n (w^p \circ f - w^p)} dw^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw^n.$$

Our next aim is to compute the residue for the Lehmann-Suwa action, at least for an isolated smooth point $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(X_f)$. Let (W, w) be a local chart about x_λ belonging to a comfortable atlas. Set $l = w^1$ and define $\tilde{v}_f$ as in (6.9). By (6.13) the Lehmann-Suwa action $\tilde{V}$ is given by the holomorphic action (in

the sense of Bott) of $\tilde{v}_f$ on $TM|_S - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}$. Therefore we can apply [L], [LS] (see also [Su, Ths. IV.5.3, IV.5.6], and [Su, Remark IV.5.7]) to obtain

$$\text{Res}_\varphi(X_f, TM|_S - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \{x_\lambda\}) = \text{Res}_\varphi(X_f, TM|_S, \{x_\lambda\}),$$

where $\text{Res}_\varphi(X_f, TM|_S, \{x_\lambda\})$ is the residue for the local Lie derivative action of $\tilde{v}_f$ on $TM|_S$ given by

$$\tilde{V}_l(s)(\tilde{v}_f) = [\tilde{v}_f, \tilde{s}]|_S,$$

where s is a section of $TM|_S$ and $\tilde{s}$ is a local extension of s constant along the fibers of σ .

We can write an expression of $\tilde{V}_l$ in local coordinates. Let (U, z) be a local chart belonging to a comfortable atlas. Then $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^n}\}$ is a local frame for TM , and $\{(\omega^1)^{\otimes \nu_f} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}|_S, \dots, (\omega^1)^{\otimes \nu_f} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^n}|_S\}$ is a local frame for $(N_S^{\otimes \nu_f})^* \otimes TM|_S$. Thus there exist holomorphic functions V_j^k (for $j, k = 1, \dots, n$) so that

$$\tilde{V}_l(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j})(\partial_1^{\otimes \nu_f}) = V_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}.$$

Now, from (4.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_l(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j})(\partial_1^{\otimes \nu_f}) &= \left[\mathcal{X}_f \left((\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1})^{\otimes \nu_f} \right), \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right] \Big|_S \\ &= \left[h^1 z^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} + g^p \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right] \Big|_S = -h^1|_S \delta_j^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} - \frac{\partial g^p}{\partial z^j} \Big|_S \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(6.14) \quad V_1^1 = -h^1|_S, \quad V_p^1 \equiv 0, \quad V_j^p = -\frac{\partial g^p}{\partial z^j} \Big|_S.$$

Therefore [Su, Th. IV.5.3] yields

THEOREM 6.6. *Let S be a compact, globally irreducible, possibly singular hypersurface in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$, be given. Assume that $S' = S \setminus \text{Sing}(S)$ is comfortably embedded into M , and that f is tangential to S with $\nu_f > 1$. Let $x_\lambda \in \text{Sing}(X_f)$ be an isolated smooth point of $\text{Sing}(S) \cup \text{Sing}(X_f)$. Then for any homogeneous symmetric polynomial φ of degree $n - 1$ the complex number*

$$\text{Res}_\varphi(X_f, TM|_S - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \{x_\lambda\})$$

introduced by Theorem 6.3 is given by

$$(6.15) \quad \text{Res}_\varphi(X_f, TM|_S - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \{x_\lambda\}) = \int_\Gamma \frac{\varphi(V) dz^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n}{g^2 \dots g^n},$$

where $V = (V_j^k)$ is the matrix given by (6.14) and Γ is as in (6.5).

Remark 6.7. We adopt here the convention that if V is an $n \times n$ matrix then $c_j(V)$ is the j^{th} symmetric function of the eigenvalues V multiplied by $(i/2\pi)^j$, and $\varphi(V) = \varphi(c_1(V), \dots, c_{n-1}(V))$.

Finally, if x_λ is an isolated point in $\text{Sing}(X)$, the complex numbers $\text{Res}_\varphi(X, TS - [S]^{\otimes \nu_f}, \{x_\lambda\})$ appearing in Theorem 6.4 can be computed exactly as in the foliation case using a Grothendieck residue with a formula very similar to (6.15); see [BB], [Su, Th. III.5.5].

7. Index theorems in higher codimension

Let $S \subset M$ be a complex submanifold of codimension $1 < m < n$ in a complex n -manifold M . A way to get index theorems for holomorphic self-maps of M fixing pointwise S is to blow-up S and then apply the index theorems for hypersurfaces; this is what we plan to do in this section.

We shall denote by $\pi: M_S \rightarrow M$ the blow-up of M along S , and by $E_S = \pi^{-1}(S)$ the exceptional divisor, which is a hypersurface in M_S isomorphic to the projectivized normal bundle $\mathbb{P}(N_S)$.

Remark 7.1. If S is singular, the blow-up M_S is in general singular too. So this approach works only for smooth submanifolds.

If (U, z) is a chart adapted to S centered in $p \in S$, in M_S we have m charts $(\tilde{U}_r, w_r)$ centered in $[\partial_1], \dots, [\partial_m]$ respectively, where if $v \in N_{S,p}$, $v \neq O$, we denote by $[v]$ its projection in $\mathbb{P}(N_S)$. The coordinates z^j and w_r^h are related by

$$z^j(w_r) = \begin{cases} w_r^j & \text{if } j = r, m+1, \dots, n, \\ w_r^r w_r^j & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, r-1, r+1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Remark 7.2. We have $\tilde{U}_r \cap E_S = \{w_r^r = 0\}$, and thus $(\tilde{U}_r, w_r)$ is adapted to E_S up to a permutation of the coordinates.

Now take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \not\equiv \text{id}_M$, and assume that df acts as the identity on N_S (this is automatic if $\nu_f > 1$, while if $\nu_f = 1$ it happens if and only if f is tangential). Then we can lift f to a unique map $\tilde{f} \in \text{End}(M_S, E_S)$, $\tilde{f} \not\equiv \text{id}_{M_S}$, such that $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{f}$ (see, e.g., [A1] for details). If (U, z) is a chart adapted to S and we set $f^j = z^j \circ f$ and $\tilde{f}_r^j = w_r^j \circ \tilde{f}$,

$$(7.1) \quad \tilde{f}_r^j(w_r) = \begin{cases} f^j(z(w_r)) & \text{if } j = r, m+1, \dots, n, \\ \frac{f^j(z(w_r))}{f^r(z(w_r))} & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, r-1, r+1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

If f is tangential we can find holomorphic functions $h_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^r$ symmetric in the lower indices such that

$$(7.2) \quad f^r - z^r = h_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^r z^{r_1} \dots z^{r_{\nu_f+1}} + R_{\nu_f+2};$$

as usual, only the restriction to S of each $h_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^r$ is uniquely defined. Set then

$$Y = h_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^r|_S \partial_r \otimes \omega^{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{r_{\nu_f+1}};$$

it is a local section of $N_S \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes(\nu_f+1)}$.

On the other hand, if f is not tangential set $B = (\pi \otimes \text{id})_* \circ X_f$, where $\pi: TM|_S \rightarrow N_S$ is the canonical projection. In this way we get a global section of $N_S \otimes (N_S^*)^{\otimes \nu_f}$, not identically zero if and only if f is not tangential, and given in local adapted coordinates by

$$B = g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^r|_S \partial_r \otimes \omega^{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{r_{\nu_f}}.$$

Definition 7.1. Take $p \in S$. If f is tangential, a non-zero vector $v \in (N_S)_p$ is a *singular direction* for f at p if $X_f(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) = O$ and $Y(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) \wedge v = O$. If f is not tangential, v is a *singular direction* if $B(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) \wedge v = O$.

Remark 7.3. The condition $Y(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) \wedge v = O$ is equivalent to requiring $Y(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Of course, in the tangential case we must check that this definition is well-posed, because the morphism Y depends on the local coordinates chosen to define it. First of all, if (U, z) is a chart adapted to S and centered at p then $X_f(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) = O$ when f is tangential means

$$(7.3) \quad g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^p(O) v^{r_1} \dots v^{r_{\nu_f}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^p} = O,$$

where $v = v^r \partial_r$. Now let $(\hat{U}, \hat{z})$ be another chart adapted to S centered in p . Then we can find holomorphic functions a_s^r and $\hat{a}_s^r$ such that $\hat{z}^r = a_s^r z^s$ and $z^r = \hat{a}_s^r \hat{z}^s$. Arguing as in the proof of (4.2) we get

$$a_{s_1}^{r_1} \dots a_{s_{\nu_f+1}}^{r_{\nu_f+1}} \hat{h}_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^r = a_s^r h_{s_1 \dots s_{\nu_f+1}}^s + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu_f+1} \frac{\partial a_{s_\ell}^r}{\partial z^p} g_{s_1 \dots \hat{s}_\ell \dots s_{\nu_f+1}}^p + R_1,$$

where the index with the hat is missing from the list. Therefore

$$\hat{Y} = Y + \hat{a}_r^s \sum_{\ell=1}^{\nu_f+1} \frac{\partial a_{s_\ell}^r}{\partial z^p} g_{s_1 \dots \hat{s}_\ell \dots s_{\nu_f+1}}^p \Big|_S \partial_s \otimes \omega^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega^{s_{\nu_f+1}};$$

in particular if $X_f(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) = O$ equation (7.3) yields

$$\hat{Y}(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) = Y(v \otimes \dots \otimes v),$$

and the notion of singular direction when f is tangential is well-defined.

PROPOSITION 7.1. *Let $S \subset M$ be a complex submanifold of codimension $1 < m < n$ of a complex n -manifold M , and take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, such that df acts as the identity on N_S (that is f is tangential, or $\nu_f > 1$, or both). Denote by $\pi: M_S \rightarrow M$ the blow-up of M along S with exceptional divisor E_S , and let $\tilde{f} \in \text{End}(M_S, E_S)$ be the lifted map. Then:*

- (i) *if S is comfortably embedded in M then E_S is comfortably embedded in M_S , and the choice of a splitting morphism for S induces a splitting morphism for E_S ;*
- (ii) *$d\tilde{f}$ acts as the identity on N_{E_S} ;*
- (iii) *$\tilde{f}$ is always tangential; furthermore $\nu_{\tilde{f}} = \nu_f$ if f is tangential, $\nu_{\tilde{f}} = \nu_f - 1$ otherwise;*
- (iv) *a direction $[v] \in E_S$ is a singular point for $\tilde{f}$ if and only if it is a singular direction for f .*

Proof. (i) Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}$ be a comfortable atlas adapted to S ; we claim that $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}} = \{(\tilde{U}_{\alpha,r}, w_{\alpha,r})\}$ is a comfortable atlas adapted to E_S (and in particular determines a splitting morphism for E_S). Let us first prove that it is a splitting atlas, that is that

$$\left. \frac{\partial w_{\beta,s}^j}{\partial w_{\alpha,r}^r} \right|_{E_S} \equiv 0$$

for every $r, s, j \neq s$ and indices α and β . We have

$$z_\beta^j = z_\beta^j|_S + \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^j}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right|_S z_\alpha^s + \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{\partial^2 z_\beta^j}{\partial z_\alpha^u \partial z_\alpha^v} \right|_S z_\alpha^u z_\alpha^v + R_3.$$

Since $w_{\alpha,r}^r = z_\alpha^r$, if $j = p > m$ we immediately get

$$\left. \frac{\partial w_{\beta,s}^p}{\partial w_{\alpha,r}^r} \right|_{E_S} = \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^p}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \right|_S \equiv 0,$$

because $\mathfrak{U}$ is a splitting atlas. If $j = t \leq m$,

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} z_\beta^t &= \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^t}{\partial z_\alpha^s} \right|_S z_\alpha^s + \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{\partial^2 z_\beta^t}{\partial z_\alpha^u \partial z_\alpha^v} \right|_S z_\alpha^u z_\alpha^v + R_3 \\ &= \left[\left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^t}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \right|_S + \sum_{u \neq r} \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^t}{\partial z_\alpha^u} \right|_S w_{\alpha,r}^u \right] w_{\alpha,r}^r + O((w_{\alpha,r}^r)^3), \end{aligned}$$

because $\mathfrak{U}$ is a comfortable atlas. Therefore if $t \neq s$,

$$w_{\beta,s}^t = \frac{z_\beta^t}{z_\beta^s} = \frac{\left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^t}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \right|_S + \sum_{u \neq r} \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^t}{\partial z_\alpha^u} \right|_S w_{\alpha,r}^u + O((w_{\alpha,r}^r)^2)}{\left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^s}{\partial z_\alpha^r} \right|_S + \sum_{u \neq r} \left. \frac{\partial z_\beta^s}{\partial z_\alpha^u} \right|_S w_{\alpha,r}^u + O((w_{\alpha,r}^r)^2)},$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial w_{\beta,s}^t}{\partial w_{\alpha,r}^r} = O(w_{\alpha,r}^r),$$

as required.

Finally, since $w_{\beta,s}^s = z_\beta^s$, equation (7.4) yields

$$\frac{\partial^2 w_{\beta,s}^s}{\partial (w_{\alpha,r}^r)^2} = O(w_{\alpha,r}^r),$$

and $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a comfortable atlas, as claimed.

(ii) Since df acts as the identity on N_S , in local coordinates we can write

$$f^j(z) = z^j + g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^j z^{r_1} \dots z^{r_{\nu_f}} + R_{\nu_f+1},$$

with $g_{r_1}^s|_S \equiv 0$ if $\nu_f = 1$. Then (7.1) yields

$$(7.5) \quad \tilde{f}_r^j(w_r) = w_r^j + (w_r^r)^{\nu_f} g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j(z(w_r)) w_r^{\hat{r}_1} \dots w_r^{\hat{r}_{\nu_f}} + O((w_r^r)^{\nu_f+1})$$

if $j = r, m+1, \dots, n$, and

$$(7.6) \quad \tilde{f}_r^j(w_r) = w_r^j + (w_r^r)^{\nu_f-1} [g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j(z(w_r)) - w_r^j g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^r(z(w_r))] w_r^{\hat{r}_1} \dots w_r^{\hat{r}_{\nu_f}} + O((w_r^r)^{\nu_f})$$

if $j = 1, \dots, r-1, r+1, \dots, m$, where $w_r^{\hat{s}} = w_r^s$ if $s \neq r$, and $w_r^{\hat{r}} = 1$. In particular, $d\tilde{f}$ acts as the identity on N_{E_S} .

(iii) We have

$$g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j|_{E_S}(z(w_r)) = g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^j|_S(O, w_r'');$$

therefore if f is tangential then w_r^r divides all $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^s(z(w_r))$, while it does not divide some $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^p(z(w_r))$. In particular, then, $\tilde{f}$ is tangential and $\nu_{\tilde{f}} = \nu_f$, by (7.5) and (7.6). On the other hand, if f is not tangential w_r^r does not divide some $g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^s(z(w_r))$; therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^s(z(w_r)) - w_r^s g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^r(z(w_r))] |_{E_S} \\ &= g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^s(O, w_r'') - w_r^s g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^r(O, w_r'') \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\nu_{\tilde{f}} = \nu_f - 1$ and $\tilde{f}$ is again tangential.

(iv) Take $v \in (N_S)_p$, $v \neq O$, and a chart (U, z) adapted to S centered in p . Then $v = v^s \partial_s$, with $v^r \neq 0$ for some r . Hence $[v] \in \tilde{U}_r$ has coordinates

$$w_r^j([v]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = r, m+1, \dots, n, \\ v^j/v^r & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, r-1, r+1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

If f is not tangential, then $[v]$ is a singular point for $\tilde{f}$ if and only if

$$[v^r g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^s(O) - v^s g_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f}}^r(O)] v^{r_1} \dots v^{r_{\nu_f}} = 0$$

for all s , and thus if and only if $B(v \otimes \dots \otimes v) \wedge v = O$, as claimed.

If f is tangential, writing $f^s - z^s$ as in (7.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_r^s(w_r) &= w_r^s + (w_r^r)^{\nu_f} [h_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^s(z(w_r)) - w_r^s h_{r_1 \dots r_{\nu_f+1}}^r(z(w_r))] w_r^{\hat{r}_1} \dots w_r^{\hat{r}_{\nu_f+1}} \\ &\quad + O((w_r^r)^{\nu_f+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $s \neq r$, and then it is clear that $[v]$ is a singular point for $\tilde{f}$ if and only if v is a singular direction for f . $\square$

We therefore get index theorems in any codimension:

THEOREM 7.2. *Let S be a compact complex submanifold of codimension $1 < m < n$ in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be given, and assume that df acts as the identity on N_S . Let $\bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition in connected components of the set of singular directions for f in $\mathbb{P}(N_S)$. Then there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}(f, S, \Sigma_\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the local behavior of f and S near Σ_λ , such that*

$$\sum_\lambda \text{Res}(f, S, \Sigma_\lambda) = \int_{E_S} c_1^{n-1}([E_S]) = \int_S \pi_* c_1^{n-1}([E_S]),$$

where E_S is the exceptional divisor in the blow-up $\pi: M_S \rightarrow M$ of M along S , and π_* denotes the integration along the fibers of the bundle $\pi|_{E_S}: E_S \rightarrow S$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.2, Proposition 7.1, and the projection formula (see, e.g., [Su, Prop. II.4.5]). $\square$

Remark 7.4. The restriction to E_S of the cohomology class $c_1([E_S])$ is the Chern class $\zeta = c_1(T)$ of the tautological bundle T on the bundle $\pi|_{E_S}: E_S \rightarrow S$ and it satisfies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{n-m} - \pi|_{E_S}^* c_1(N_S) \zeta^{n-m-1} + \pi|_{E_S}^* c_2(N_S) \zeta^{n-m-2} + \dots \\ \dots + (-1)^{n-m} \pi|_{E_S}^* c_{n-m}(N_S) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

in the cohomology ring of the bundle (see, e.g., [GH, pp. 606–608]). This formula can sometimes be used to compute ζ in terms of the Chern classes of N_S and TM in specific examples.

THEOREM 7.3. *Let S be a compact complex submanifold of codimension $1 < m < n$ in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be given, and set $\nu = \nu_f$ if f is tangential, and $\nu = \nu_f - 1$ otherwise. Assume that S is comfortably embedded into M , and that $\nu > 1$. Let $\bigcup_\lambda \Sigma_\lambda$ be the decomposition in connected components of the set of singular directions for f in $\mathbb{P}(N_S)$. Finally, let $\pi: M_S \rightarrow M$ be the blow-up of M along S , with exceptional divisor E_S . Then for any homogeneous symmetric polynomial φ of degree $n-1$ there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}_\varphi(f, TM_S|_{E_S} - N_{E_S}^{\otimes \nu}, \Sigma_\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$,*

depending only on the local behavior of f and $TM_S|_{E_S} - N_{E_S}^{\otimes \nu}$ near Σ_λ , such that

$$\sum_{\lambda} \text{Res}_{\varphi}(f, TM_S|_{E_S} - N_{E_S}^{\otimes \nu}, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_S \pi_* \varphi(TM_S|_{E_S} \otimes (N_{E_S}^*)^{\otimes \nu}),$$

where π_* denotes the integration along the fibers of the bundle $E_S \rightarrow S$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.3, Proposition 7.1, and the projection formula. $\square$

THEOREM 7.4. *Let S be a compact complex submanifold of codimension $1 < m < n$ in an n -dimensional complex manifold M . Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$, be given, and assume that df acts as the identity on N_S . Set $\nu = \nu_f$ if f is tangential, and $\nu = \nu_f - 1$ otherwise. Let $\bigcup_{\lambda} \Sigma_{\lambda}$ be the decomposition in connected components of the set of singular directions for f in $\mathbb{P}(N_S)$. Finally, let $\pi: M_S \rightarrow M$ be the blow-up of M along S , with exceptional divisor E_S . Then for any homogeneous symmetric polynomial φ of degree $n - 1$ there exist complex numbers $\text{Res}_{\varphi}(f, TE_S - N_{E_S}^{\otimes \nu}, \Sigma_{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the local behavior of f and $TE_S - N_{E_S}^{\otimes \nu}$ near Σ_{λ} , such that*

$$\sum_{\lambda} \text{Res}_{\varphi}(f, TE_S - N_{E_S}^{\otimes \nu}, \Sigma_{\lambda}) = \int_S \pi_* \varphi(TE_S \otimes (N_{E_S}^*)^{\otimes \nu}),$$

where π_* denotes the integration along the fibers of the bundle $E_S \rightarrow S$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.4, Proposition 7.1, and the projection formula. $\square$

8. Applications to dynamics

We conclude this paper with applications to the study of the dynamics of endomorphisms of complex manifolds, first recalling a definition from [A2]:

Definition 8.1. Let $f \in \text{End}(M, p)$ be a germ at $p \in M$ of a holomorphic self-map of a complex manifold M fixing p . A *parabolic curve* for f at p is a injective holomorphic map $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow M$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) Δ is a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$ with $0 \in \partial\Delta$;
- (ii) φ is continuous at the origin, and $\varphi(0) = p$;
- (iii) $\varphi(\Delta)$ is invariant under f , and $(f|_{\varphi(\Delta)})^n \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, we say that φ is *tangent to a direction* $v \in T_p M$ at p if for one (and hence any) chart (U, z) centered at p the direction of $z(\varphi(\zeta))$ converges to the direction $dz_p(v)$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$.

Now we have the promised dynamical interpretation of X_f at nonsingular points:

PROPOSITION 8.1. *Assume that S has codimension one in M , and take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. Let $p \in S$ be a regular point of X_f , that is $X_f(p) \neq O$. Then*

- (i) *If f is tangential then no infinite orbit of f can stay arbitrarily close to p . More precisely, there is a neighborhood U of p such that for every $q \in U$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{n_0}(q) \notin U$ or $f^{n_0}(q) \in S$.*
- (ii) *If $\Xi_f(p)$ is transversal to $T_p S$ (so in particular f is non-tangential) and $\nu_f > 1$ then there exists at least one parabolic curve for f at p tangent to $\Xi_f(p)$.*
- (iii) *If $\Xi_f(p)$ is transversal to $T_p S$, $\nu_f = 1$, and $|b(p)| \neq 0, 1$ or $b(p) = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$ where θ satisfies the Bryuno condition (and b is the function defined in Remark 1.1) then there exists an f -invariant one-dimensional holomorphic disk Δ passing through p tangent to $\Xi_f(p)$ such that $f|_\Delta$ is holomorphically conjugated to the multiplication by $b(p)$.*

Proof. In local adapted coordinates centered at $p \in S$ we can write

$$f^j(z) = z^j + (z^1)^{\nu_f} g^j(z),$$

so that

$$\Xi_f(p) = \text{Span} \left(g^1(O) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \Big|_p + \cdots + g^n(O) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^n} \Big|_p \right).$$

In case (i), we have $g^1 = z^1 h^1$ for a suitable holomorphic function h^1 , and $g^{p_0}(O) \neq 0$ for some $2 \leq p_0 \leq n$, because p is not singular. Therefore we can apply [AT, Prop. 2.1] (see also [A2, Prop. 2.1]), and the assertion follows.

Now, $\Xi_f(p)$ is transversal to $T_p S$ if and only if $g^1(O) \neq 0$. In case (ii) we can then write

$$f^j(z) = z^j + g^j(O)(z^1)^{\nu_f} + O(\|z\|^{\nu_f+1})$$

with $g^1(O) \neq 0$. Then $\Xi_f(p)$ is a non-degenerate characteristic direction of f at p in the sense of Hakim, and thus by [H1, 2] there exist at least $\nu_f - 1$ parabolic curves for f at p tangent to $\Xi_f(p)$.

If $\nu_f = 1$, it is easy to see that $b^1(p) = 1 + g^1(O)$, and $b^1(p) \neq 1$ because $\Xi_f(p)$ is transversal to $T_p S$. Therefore we can write

$$f^j(z) = \begin{cases} b^1(p)z^1 + O(\|z\|^2) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ z^j + g^j(O)z^1 + O(\|z\|^2) & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq n, \end{cases}$$

and the assertion in case (iii) follows immediately from [Pö] (see also [N]). $\square$

In other words, X_f essentially dictates the dynamical behavior of f away from the singularities — or, from another point of view, we can say that the interesting dynamics is concentrated near the singularities of X_f .

Remark 8.1. If $\Xi_f(p)$ is transversal to $T_p S$, $\nu_f = 1$ and $b(p) = 0$ or $b(p) = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$ with θ irrational not satisfying the Bryuno condition, there might still be an f -invariant one-dimensional holomorphic disk passing through p and tangent to $\Xi_f(p)$. On the other hand, if $b(p) = \exp(2\pi i\theta)$ is a k^{th} root of unity, necessarily different from one, several things might happen. For instance, if $b(p) = -1$, up to a linear change of coordinates we can write

$$f^j(z) = \begin{cases} z^1 + z^1(-2 + (z^1)^{\mu_1}\hat{g}^1(z)) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ z^j + (z^1)^{\mu_j+1}\hat{g}^j(z) & \text{if } j = 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

for suitable $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and holomorphic functions $\hat{g}^j$ not divisible by z^1 and such that $\hat{g}^j(O) = 0$ if $\mu_j = 0$. Then if $\mu_1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ f)^j(z) &= \begin{cases} z^1 - z^1[\hat{g}^1(z) + \hat{g}^1(f(z)) - \hat{g}^1(z)\hat{g}^1(f(z))] & \text{if } j = 1, \\ z^j + (z^1)^{\mu_j+1}[\hat{g}^j(z) - (-1 + \hat{g}^1(z))^{\mu_j+1}\hat{g}^j(f(z))] & \text{if } j = 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So $\nu_{f \circ f} = 1$, $f \circ f$ is non-tangential but p is singular for $f \circ f$. On the other hand, if $\mu_1 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ f)^j(z) &= \begin{cases} z^1 - (z^1)^2[\hat{g}^1(z) - \hat{g}^1(f(z)) + O(z^1)] & \text{if } j = 1, \\ z^j + (z^1)^{\mu_j+1}[\hat{g}^j(z) + (-1)^{\mu_j}\hat{g}^j(f(z)) + O(z^1)] & \text{if } j = 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now if, for instance, $\mu_2 = 0$ we get $\nu_{f \circ f} = 1$, but $f \circ f$ is tangential and p is singular for $f \circ f$. But if $\mu_2 = 2$ and $\mu_j \geq 2$ for $j \geq 3$ we get $\nu_{f \circ f} = 3$ and p can be either singular or nonsingular for $f \circ f$.

Remark 8.2. If $\nu_f = 1$, $\Xi_f(p) \subset T_p S$ and S is compact, necessarily f is tangential, because $b \equiv 1$ and then $g^1(0, z'') \equiv 0$. If S is not compact we might have an isolated point of tangency, and in that case we might have parabolic curves at p not tangent to $\Xi_f(p)$. For instance, the methods of [A1] show that this happens for the map

$$f^j(z) = \begin{cases} z^1 + z^1(az^2 + bz^3 + h_1(z'') + z^1h_2(z)) & \text{if } j = 1, \\ z^2 + z^1(c + h_3(z)) & \text{if } j = 2, \\ z^3 + z^1g^3(z) & \text{if } j = 3, \end{cases}$$

when $a, c \neq 0$.

Finally, we describe a couple of applications to endomorphisms of complex surfaces:

COROLLARY 8.2. *Let S be a smooth compact one-dimensional submanifold of a complex surface M , and take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. Assume that f is tangential, or that $S \setminus \text{Sing}(f)$ is comfortably embedded in M , and let X denote X_f , $H_{\sigma, f}$ or $H_{\sigma, f}^1$ as usual; assume moreover that $X \neq O$. Then*

- (i) *if $c_1(N_S) \neq 0$ then $\chi(S) - \nu_f c_1(N_S) > 0$;*
- (ii) *if $c_1(N_S) > 0$ then S is rational, $\nu_f = 1$ and $c_1(N_S) = 1$.*

Proof. The well-known theorem about the localization of the top Chern class at the zeroes of a global section (see, e.g., [Su, Th. III.3.5]) yields

$$(8.1) \quad \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(X)} N(X; x) = \chi(S) - \nu_f c_1(N_S),$$

where $N(X; x)$ is the multiplicity of x as a zero of X . Now, If $c_1(N_S) \neq 0$ then by Theorem 6.2 the set $\text{Sing}(X)$ is not empty. Therefore the sum in (8.1) must be strictly positive, and the assertions follow. $\square$

Definition 8.2. Let $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. We say that a point $p \in S$ is *weakly attractive* if there are infinite orbits arbitrarily close to p , that is, if for every neighborhood U of p there is $q \in U$ such that $f^n(q) \in U \setminus S$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, this happens if there is an infinite orbit converging to p .

Then we can prove the following

PROPOSITION 8.3. *Let S be a smooth compact one-dimensional submanifold of a complex surface M , and take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. If f is tangential then there are at most $\chi(S) - \nu_f c_1(N_S)$ weakly attractive points for f on S .*

Proof. By (8.1) the sum of zeros of the section X_f (counting multiplicity) is equal to $\chi(S) - \nu_f c_1(N_S)$. Thus the number of zeros (not counting multiplicity) is at most $\chi(S) - \nu_f c_1(N_S)$. The assertion then follows from Proposition 8.1. $\square$

Finally, the previous index theorems allow a classification of the smooth curves which are fixed by a holomorphic map and are dynamically trivial.

THEOREM 8.4. *Let S be a smooth compact one-dimensional submanifold of a complex surface M , and take $f \in \text{End}(M, S)$, $f \neq \text{id}_M$. Moreover assume that $\text{sp}(df_p) = \{1\}$ for some $p \in S$. If there are no weakly attractive points for f on S then only one of the following cases occurs:*

- (i) $\chi(S) = 2$, $c_1(N_S) = 0$, or

- (ii) $\chi(S) = 2$, $c_1(N_S) = 1$, $\nu_f = 1$, or
- (iii) $\chi(S) = 0$, $c_1(N_S) = 0$.

Proof. Since N_S is a line bundle over a compact curve S , the action of df on N_S is given by multiplication by a constant, and since df_p has only the eigenvalue 1 then this constant must be 1. If f were nontangential then by Proposition 8.1.(ii) all but a finite number of points of S would be weakly attractive. Therefore f is tangential. By [A2, Cor. 3.1] (or [Br, Prop. 7.7]) if there is a point $q \in S$ so that $\text{Res}(X_f, N_S, p) \notin \mathbb{Q}^+$ then q is weakly attractive. Thus the sum of the residues is nonnegative and by Theorem 6.2 it follows that $c_1(N_S) \geq 0$. Thus (8.1) yields

$$(8.2) \quad \chi(S) \geq \nu_f c_1(N_S) \geq 0.$$

Therefore the only possible cases are $\chi(S) = 0, 2$. If $\chi(S) = 0$ then (8.2) implies $c_1(N_S) = 0$. Assume that $\chi(S) = 2$. Thus $c_1(N_S) = 0, 1, 2$. However if $c_1(N_S) = 1$ and $\nu_f = 2$ or if $c_1(N_S) = 2$ (and necessarily $\nu_f = 1$) then (8.1) would imply that X_f has no zeroes, and thus $c_1(N_S) = 0$ by Theorem 6.2. $\square$

UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, PISA, ITALY
E-mail address: abate@dm.unipi.it

UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA "TOR VERGATA", ROMA, ITALY
E-mail addresses: fbracci@mat.uniroma2.it
tovena@mat.uniroma2.it

REFERENCES

- [A1] M. ABATE, Diagonalization of nondiagonalizable discrete holomorphic dynamical systems, *Amer. J. Math.* **122** (2000), 757–781.
- [A2] ———, The residual index and the dynamics of holomorphic maps tangent to the identity, *Duke Math. J.*, **107** (2001), 173–207.
- [ABT] M. ABATE, F. BRACCI, and F. TOVENA, Index theorems for subvarieties transversal to a holomorphic foliation, preprint, 2004.
- [AT] M. ABATE and F. TOVENA, Parabolic curves in $\mathbb{C}^3$, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **5** (2003), 275–294.
- [Ati] M. F. ATIYAH, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [BB] P. BAUM and R. BOTT, Singularities of holomorphic foliations, *J. Differential Geom.* **7** (1972), 279–342.
- [Bo] R. BOTT, A residue formula for holomorphic vector-fields, *J. Differential Geom.* **1** (1967), 311–330.
- [BT] F. BRACCI and F. TOVENA, Residual indices of holomorphic maps relative to singular curves of fixed points on surfaces, *Math. Z.* **242** (2002), 481–490.
- [Br] F. BRACCI, The dynamics of holomorphic maps near curves of fixed points, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **2** (2003), 493–520.

- [CS] C. CAMACHO and P. SAD, Invariant varieties through singularities of holomorphic vector fields, *Ann. of Math.* **115** (1982), 579–595.
- [CL] J. B. CARRELL and D. I. LIEBERMAN, Vector fields and Chern numbers, *Math. Ann.* **225** (1977), 263–273.
- [GH] P. GRIFFITHS and J. HARRIS, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry, Pure and Applied Math.* Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [H1] M. HAKIM, Analytic transformations of $(\mathbf{C}^p, 0)$ tangent to the identity, *Duke Math. J.* **92** (1998), 403–428.
- [H2] ———, Stable pieces of manifolds in transformations tangent to the identity, preprint, 1998.
- [KS] B. KHANEDANI and T. SUWA, First variation of holomorphic forms and some applications, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **26** (1997), 323–335.
- [L] D. LEHMANN, Résidues des sous-variétés invariants d’un feuilletage singulier, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **41** (1991), 211–258.
- [LS] D. LEHMANN and T. SUWA, Residues of holomorphic vector fields relative to singular invariant subvarieties, *J. Differential Geom.* **42** (1995), 165–192.
- [LS2] ———, Generalization of variations and Baum-Bott residues for holomorphic foliations on singular varieties, *Internat. J. Math.* **10** (1999), 367–384.
- [N] Y. NISHIMURA, Automorphismes analytiques admettant des sous-variétés de points fixes attractives dans la direction transversale, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **23** (1983), 289–299.
- [Pö] J. PÖSCHEL, On invariant manifolds of complex analytic mappings near fixed points, *Exposition Math.* **4** (1986), 97–109.
- [Su] T. SUWA, *Indices of Vector Fields and Residues of Singular Holomorphic Foliations, Actualites Math.*, Hermann, Paris, 1998.

(Received June 30, 2002)